

## ***Johnson v. Commissioner, 59 T. C. 791 (1973)***

A transfer of encumbered property can be treated as a part-sale, part-gift transaction for income tax purposes when the transferee assumes the encumbrance.

### **Summary**

In *Johnson v. Commissioner*, the taxpayers borrowed money using stock as collateral and transferred the stock to trusts for their children, with the trusts assuming the debt. The court held that this transaction was a part-sale and part-gift, resulting in taxable capital gains to the extent the loan proceeds exceeded the taxpayers' basis in the stock. Additionally, the court disallowed deductions for losses claimed on a vacation home, finding it was not held primarily for profit. This case emphasizes the need to consider the economic realities of a transaction and highlights the importance of distinguishing between business and personal use of property for tax purposes.

### **Facts**

Joseph W. Johnson, Jr. , David Johnson, and Clay Johnson each borrowed \$200,000, \$200,000, and \$175,000 respectively from a bank, securing the loans with 50,000 shares of stock valued at over \$500,000 but with a basis of \$10,812. 50. They then transferred the stock to irrevocable trusts for their children, with the trusts assuming the loans. The taxpayers used the loan proceeds for personal purposes. Additionally, Clay Johnson and his wife purchased a vacation home in Sea Island, Georgia, claiming rental losses, despite using the property personally and renting it out sporadically.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the taxpayers' income taxes and disallowed claimed losses. The taxpayers petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiencies. The Tax Court consolidated the cases and issued a decision upholding the Commissioner's determinations.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the transfers of stock to trusts, secured by loans, constituted part-sale and part-gift transactions, resulting in capital gains to the taxpayers.
2. Whether Clay Johnson and his wife were entitled to deduct losses from their Sea Island property as expenses incurred in a transaction for profit or for the production of income.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the transfers were treated as part-sale and part-gift transactions. The taxpayers realized capital gains to the extent the loan proceeds exceeded their

basis in the stock.

2. No, because the Sea Island property was not held primarily for the production of income or for profit; it was used predominantly for personal enjoyment.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the economic substance doctrine, focusing on the reality of the transactions rather than their form. It relied on *Crane v. Commissioner*, which established that when property is transferred subject to a mortgage, the mortgage amount is included in the amount realized. The court determined that the transfers were part-sale and part-gift because the trusts assumed the debt, and the taxpayers benefited from the loan proceeds. The court rejected the taxpayers' argument that the transactions were separate, emphasizing the interconnected nature of the loans and transfers. For the Sea Island property, the court considered factors such as the lack of profit motive, personal use, and failure to allocate expenses, concluding that it was not held for profit or income production.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision underscores the importance of considering the economic substance of transactions for tax purposes. Taxpayers must recognize that transferring encumbered property may trigger taxable events if the transferee assumes the debt. This ruling impacts estate planning and gift tax strategies, as it may lead to unexpected income tax consequences. For real estate, the case serves as a reminder that properties used primarily for personal enjoyment may not qualify for business or income-producing expense deductions. Subsequent cases like *Malone v. United States* have followed this reasoning, and it remains relevant for analyzing similar transactions involving encumbered property transfers.