Maxcy v. Commissioner, 59 T. C. 716 (1973)

A partnership does not terminate upon the death of a partner if the business continues and the estate retains an interest until a later date.

Summary

James G. Maxcy and his siblings were partners in citrus fruit businesses. Upon the death of his brother, Von, James sought to claim the partnerships terminated, allowing him to deduct all losses post-death and claim depreciation on assets acquired from the estate and his sister. The court held that the partnerships did not terminate until February 26, 1968, when James finalized agreements to purchase his brother's and sister's interests. This decision limited James' deductions to his pro rata share of losses until the termination date and allowed depreciation only from that date. Additionally, the court permitted the use of an unused investment credit to offset any deficiency for the fiscal year 1964.

Facts

James G. Maxcy, Von Maxcy, and Laura Elizabeth Maxcy were partners in three family businesses involved in growing and selling citrus fruit. Von died on October 3, 1966, and there was no written partnership agreement regarding the disposition of a deceased partner's interest. Following Von's death, his estate and James continued the business operations. James managed the businesses and made capital contributions, while the estate did not actively participate but was kept informed through monthly financial statements. Negotiations for James to purchase Von's and Elizabeth's interests began in February 1967 and concluded with signed agreements on February 26, 1968.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in James and his wife's income tax for several fiscal years, leading to a petition to the United States Tax Court. The court addressed whether the partnerships terminated upon Von's death, the date from which James could claim depreciation on the acquired assets, and the availability of an unused investment credit for the fiscal year 1964.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the partnerships terminated on October 3, 1966, the date of Von's death, or on February 26, 1968, when James finalized agreements to purchase Von's and Elizabeth's interests?
2. Whether James is entitled to deduct all losses from the partnerships after October 3, 1966?
3. From what date is James entitled to claim depreciation on the assets acquired from Von's estate and Elizabeth?
4. Whether James can use an unused investment credit for the fiscal year 1964 to

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

offset any deficiency determined for that year?

Holding

1. No, because the partnerships did not terminate until February 26, 1968, when James finalized the purchase agreements, as the estate and Elizabeth continued to retain interests in the partnerships until that date.
2. No, because James is entitled to deduct only his pro rata share of the losses from the partnerships for the period from October 3, 1966, to February 26, 1968.
3. February 26, 1968, because that is the date James acquired the assets from Von's estate and Elizabeth.
4. Yes, because James can use the unused investment credit for the fiscal year 1964 to offset any deficiency determined for that year, even though a claim for refund or credit for that year is otherwise barred by the statute of limitations.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 708 of the Internal Revenue Code, which states that a partnership terminates when no part of any business continues to be carried on by any partners or when there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interest in partnership capital and profits within a 12-month period. The court found that the partnerships did not terminate on Von's death because the estate and Elizabeth continued to retain interests until the finalization of the purchase agreements on February 26, 1968. The court emphasized that the estate's court-approved authority to continue the business and participate in decisions, along with James' management and monthly reporting to the estate, indicated that the partnerships continued to operate. The court also noted that the agreements to purchase Von's and Elizabeth's interests were not finalized until February 26, 1968. Regarding the investment credit, the court found that under Section 6501(m), James could use the unused investment credit for the fiscal year 1964 to offset any deficiency for that year.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that the death of a partner does not automatically terminate a partnership if the business continues and the estate retains an interest. Attorneys should advise clients to carefully document the continuation or termination of partnerships upon a partner's death and ensure that any agreements for the purchase of a deceased partner's interest are finalized promptly. For tax planning, this decision highlights the importance of understanding the timing of partnership termination for the purposes of loss deductions and depreciation. The ruling also underscores the ability to use investment credits to offset deficiencies in barred years, which can be a critical tool in tax planning. Subsequent cases like *Kinney v. United States* have cited this case to discuss partnership termination and estate involvement in business operations post-death.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.