Ambac Industries, Inc. v. Commissioner, 59 T. C. 670 (1973)

A parent corporation must reduce its basis in the stock and debt of a subsidiary by the subsidiary's net operating losses incurred during the year of liquidation when computing loss on worthlessness under consolidated return regulations.

Summary

Ambac Industries, Inc. , acquired Space Equipment Corp. and filed consolidated tax returns for 1964 and 1965. Space sustained net operating losses in both years, which were used to offset Ambac's taxable income. Upon Space's liquidation in 1965, Ambac sought to deduct a loss on the worthlessness of Space's stock and debt. The issue was whether Space's 1965 net operating loss should reduce Ambac's basis in Space's stock and debt. The Tax Court held that the basis must be reduced by both 1964 and 1965 losses, as the liquidation terminated the affiliation, making 1965 a separate taxable year for adjustment purposes. This decision emphasized preventing double deductions and adhered to the consolidated return regulations' intent.

Facts

Ambac Industries, Inc. , acquired 96. 48% of Space Equipment Corp. 's stock in 1964. Ambac and Space filed consolidated federal income tax returns for 1964 and 1965. Space sustained net operating losses of $153,079. 88 in 1964 and $293,075. 58 in 1965, which were used to offset Ambac's separate taxable income. In 1965, Space ceased operations and liquidated, making distributions to Ambac totaling $367,442. 91, treated as debt repayment. By the end of 1965, Ambac's basis in Space's stock was $74,289. 31 and in its debt was $475,255. 59. The IRS argued that both years' losses should reduce Ambac's basis in Space's stock and debt before calculating any loss on worthlessness.

Procedural History

Ambac filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging a deficiency of $140,676. 28 determined by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue for 1965. The dispute centered on whether Space's 1965 net operating loss should be included in adjusting Ambac's basis in Space's stock and debt. The Tax Court heard the case and issued its opinion on February 13, 1973, ruling in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether, in computing Ambac's loss on the worthlessness of Space's stock and debt, Ambac must reduce its basis in such stock and debt by the amount of Space's net operating loss incurred during the year of its liquidation (1965).

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because the liquidation of Space in 1965 terminated the affiliation between Ambac and Space, making 1965 a separate taxable year for the purpose of adjusting Ambac's basis under the consolidated return regulations.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 1. 1502-34A(b)(2)(i) of the Income Tax Regulations, which requires a downward adjustment to the parent's basis in a subsidiary's stock and debt by the subsidiary's net operating losses sustained during consolidated return years prior to the worthlessness of the debt. The court interpreted 'prior to' as including the year of liquidation because the affiliation ended upon liquidation, making 1965 a separate taxable year. The court distinguished this case from Henry C. Beck Builders, Inc. , where the redemption of stock did not break the affiliation. The court emphasized preventing double deductions, aligning with the purpose of the regulation and Supreme Court precedent in United States v. Skelly Oil Co. , which disallowed 'the practical equivalent of double deduction. ' The court concluded that the 1965 net operating loss must be included in reducing Ambac's basis, leading to a lower allowable deduction for Ambac.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how parent corporations calculate losses on the worthlessness of subsidiary stock and debt in consolidated return scenarios. It clarifies that net operating losses incurred during the year of a subsidiary's liquidation must be included in basis adjustments, preventing double deductions and aligning with tax policy goals. Legal practitioners should carefully review the timing of a subsidiary's losses relative to its liquidation when advising clients on consolidated return filings. This ruling may influence business strategies regarding the timing of subsidiary liquidations and tax planning to minimize tax liabilities. Subsequent cases may reference this decision when addressing similar issues under consolidated return regulations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.