Pessin v. Commissioner, 63 T. C. 209 (1974)

Non-monetary compensation received for services, such as breeding rights in thoroughbred horses, must be included in gross income at their fair market value.

Summary

Arnold G. Pessin, a veterinarian involved in horse breeding and syndication, received breeding rights (nominations) in several thoroughbred stallions as compensation for his services. The IRS determined these nominations constituted taxable income at their fair market value upon receipt. Pessin argued the nominations were received before syndication, thus speculative in value. The court rejected this, finding the nominations had value upon effective syndication and must be included in income. The court also upheld additions to tax for negligence in not reporting the income correctly.

Facts

Arnold G. Pessin, a veterinarian specializing in horse care, received breeding rights (nominations) in three thoroughbred stallion syndications: Candy Spots, Prove It, and Olden Times in 1965; Fleet Nasrullah in 1965; and Creme Dela Creme in 1966. These nominations were given in exchange for his services in promoting the syndications. Pessin sold some of these nominations and reported the income as commissions or capital gains. The IRS assessed deficiencies and additions to tax, asserting the nominations should be included in income at their fair market value upon receipt.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency for the taxable years 1965 and 1966, asserting that the fair market value of the nominations received by Pessin constituted taxable income. Pessin petitioned the Tax Court to challenge these deficiencies and the additions to tax for negligence.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the receipt of the nominations by Dr. Pessin constituted taxable income to the extent of the fair market value of the nominations as determined by the IRS?
2. Whether petitioners are subject to additions to tax for the taxable years 1965 and 1966 under section 6653(a) of the Internal Revenue Code?

Holding

1. Yes, because the nominations were received upon effective syndication and had a determinable fair market value at that time, which must be included in gross income under section 61 of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Yes, because petitioners failed to meet their burden of proving that no part of any

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

underpayment was due to negligence or intentional disregard of IRS rules and regulations.

Court’s Reasoning

The court found that the nominations were received upon effective syndication of the stallions, not before as Pessin claimed. The court determined the fair market values of the nominations at the time of syndication, relying on testimony and evidence despite rejecting some valuations as based on erroneous assumptions about the timing of receipt. The court noted the distinction between nominations and shares in the syndicates, affecting their value. For the additions to tax, the court ruled that Pessin did not provide evidence to show he was not negligent in reporting the income from the nominations, as required under section 6653(a). The court emphasized that income must be reported at fair market value when received as compensation for services, per section 61 and related regulations.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that non-monetary compensation, such as breeding rights, must be reported as income at fair market value upon receipt. It impacts how professionals in industries like horse breeding should value and report such compensation. Legal practitioners must ensure clients accurately report such income and understand the timing and valuation of non-cash compensation. This case also underscores the importance of maintaining clear records and providing evidence to support income reporting positions, especially in complex valuation scenarios. Subsequent cases involving similar issues should consider this ruling when determining the tax treatment of non-cash compensation.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.