### Vitale v. Commissioner, 59 T. C. 744 (1973)

The burden of proving timely filing of a Tax Court petition lies with the petitioner when the postmark is illegible, and failure to use certified or registered mail with a postmarked receipt can result in dismissal for lack of jurisdiction.

## **Summary**

In Vitale v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed whether Angelo Vitale timely filed a petition challenging tax deficiencies for 1967 and 1968. The court determined that the petition was filed more than 90 days after the statutory notice of deficiency was mailed on October 27, 1971. The key issue was the illegibility of the postmark on the envelope containing the petition, which shifted the burden of proving timely mailing to Vitale. Despite testimony from Vitale's counsel suggesting the petition was mailed within the 90-day period, the court found insufficient evidence to overcome the burden. The case underscores the importance of using certified or registered mail with a legible postmark when filing Tax Court petitions.

#### **Facts**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Angelo Vitale's income tax for 1967 and 1968, totaling \$463. 73 and \$11,576. 75, respectively, along with additions for failure to file timely and for negligence. A statutory notice of deficiency was mailed to Vitale on October 27, 1971. Vitale's petition to the Tax Court was received more than 90 days after this date. The petition was sent via registered mail, but the postmark on the envelope was illegible. Vitale's counsel testified to mailing the petition on January 24 or 25, 1972, but could not definitively prove the date of mailing.

# **Procedural History**

The Commissioner moved to dismiss Vitale's petition for lack of jurisdiction due to untimely filing. A hearing on this motion was held in Kansas City, Missouri, on June 6, 1972. The Tax Court reviewed evidence regarding the mailing of the statutory notice and the receipt of Vitale's petition, ultimately deciding the case based on the timeliness of the petition's filing.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether the Tax Court had jurisdiction to hear Vitale's petition given the illegible postmark on the envelope and the lack of a postmarked receipt?

### **Holding**

1. No, because the petitioner failed to prove that the petition was postmarked within 90 days of the statutory notice of deficiency, and the illegible postmark shifted the burden of proof to the petitioner.

# Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 6213(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, which requires petitions to be filed within 90 days of the mailing of a statutory notice of deficiency. The court also considered Section 7502(a)(1), which allows for the use of certified or registered mail to establish a filing date. However, Vitale's use of registered mail without a legible postmark or postmarked receipt meant that the burden of proving timely mailing fell on him under Section 301. 7502-1(c)(1) of the Procedure and Administration Regulations. The court found the testimony of Vitale's counsel insufficient to meet this burden, emphasizing the importance of clear evidence of timely mailing. The court noted the Commissioner's evidence of the mailing date of the statutory notice and found it credible, thus concluding that the notice was mailed on October 27, 1971.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision highlights the critical need for taxpayers to use certified or registered mail with a legible postmark when filing Tax Court petitions. It serves as a reminder to legal practitioners to ensure proper mailing procedures are followed to avoid jurisdictional dismissals. The case may influence future practice by reinforcing the strict application of filing deadlines and the evidentiary burden placed on petitioners when postmarks are unclear. It also underscores the importance of maintaining clear records of mailing dates and using postal services that provide verifiable proof of mailing. Subsequent cases have referenced Vitale to emphasize the need for clear evidence of timely filing in tax disputes.