

## ***Rolfs v. Commissioner, 58 T. C. 360 (1972)***

A disqualifying disposition of restricted stock occurs when stock is sold within six months after the transfer of substantially all the rights of ownership to the employee.

### **Summary**

In *Rolfs v. Commissioner*, employees exercised restricted stock options with promissory notes, later paid off those notes, and sold the stock within six months of payment. The key issue was whether the transfer of the stock occurred when the options were exercised or when the notes were paid off. The Tax Court held that the transfer of substantially all the rights of ownership occurred when the notes were paid off, making the subsequent sale a disqualifying disposition under IRC section 421(b). This decision clarified that for tax purposes, the timing of the transfer is critical in determining whether a disposition is disqualifying, impacting how restricted stock option plans should be structured and managed.

### **Facts**

John Rolfs and Maxwell Arnold, employees of Guild, Bascom & Bonfigli, Inc. (GB&B), exercised statutory restricted stock options on April 30, 1964, using interest-bearing promissory notes to purchase shares under GB&B's 1963 Employees' Stock Purchase Plan. The plan required cash payment or note payoff by June 30, 1965, for the shares to be issued. Rolfs paid off his note on May 1, 1965, and Arnold on June 30, 1965. Both sold their shares on October 14, 1965, as part of a corporate buyout.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the petitioners' income tax returns, asserting that the amounts realized from the stock sales should be treated as compensation rather than long-term capital gains. The case was heard in the United States Tax Court, which consolidated the cases of Rolfs and Arnold due to similar legal issues.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the sale of the stock by Rolfs and Arnold constituted a disqualifying disposition within the meaning of IRC section 421(b), occurring within six months after the transfer of substantially all the rights of ownership of the stock to the employee.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the transfer of substantially all the rights of ownership occurred when the petitioners paid off their promissory notes, and the subsequent sale of the stock within six months of this transfer was a disqualifying disposition under IRC

section 421(b).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on IRC section 421(b) and the related regulations, specifically section 1.421-1(f) of the Income Tax Regulations, which define the “transfer” of stock as the transfer of ownership or substantially all the rights of ownership. The court determined that the transfer of ownership occurred when the employees paid off their promissory notes, as this was a condition precedent to the issuance of shares under the stock purchase plan. The court rejected the petitioners’ argument that the transfer occurred when the options were exercised, as the plan’s terms required full payment before shares were issued. The decision also referenced prior case law, such as *Swenson v. Commissioner*, to support its interpretation of when a transfer occurs for tax purposes.

### **Practical Implications**

This ruling has significant implications for the structuring and management of restricted stock option plans. It clarifies that for tax purposes, the transfer of stock occurs when the employee has paid in full, not when the option is exercised. This means that employers and employees must carefully manage the timing of payments and sales to avoid disqualifying dispositions, which can convert what would be capital gains into ordinary income. The decision impacts how companies design their stock option plans to ensure compliance with tax regulations and may influence employees’ decisions on when to exercise options and sell stock. Subsequent cases have referenced *Rolfs* when addressing similar issues of timing in stock option plans.