Mysse v. Commissioner, 57 T. C. 680 (1972)

An innocent spouse can be relieved of joint tax liability if they did not know of and had no reason to know of omitted income, did not benefit from it, and it would be inequitable to hold them liable.

Summary

Arne O. Mysse, a bank cashier, misappropriated funds and did not report the income on joint returns filed with his wife, Patricia. The IRS determined deficiencies and assessed transferee liability against Patricia and their son Arne. The court found that Mysse had unreported income from the embezzlement but relieved Patricia of joint liability under section 6013(e) as an innocent spouse. However, Patricia and Arne were held liable as transferees for assets received from Mysse when he was insolvent.

Facts

Arne O. Mysse, the cashier at First National Bank in Hysham, Montana, embezzled funds from 1963 to 1967 by issuing unauthorized certificates of deposit and manipulating bank records. He did not report this income on joint tax returns filed with his wife, Patricia. Mysse died in 1967, and investigations revealed the misappropriations. The IRS assessed tax deficiencies against Mysse and Patricia for 1963-1966 and transferee liability against Patricia and their son Arne for assets received from Mysse before his death.

Procedural History

The IRS issued notices of deficiency for the joint returns of Arne O. Mysse and Patricia E. Mysse for tax years 1963-1966. Patricia filed a petition in the Tax Court for redetermination. After Mysse’s death, the IRS also assessed transferee liability against Patricia and their son Arne, leading to additional consolidated proceedings. The court considered the innocent spouse relief provisions of section 6013(e) added in 1971, retroactively applicable to the years in question.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Arne O. Mysse realized unreported income from misappropriating bank funds from 1963 to 1967?
2. If Mysse understated income on the joint returns, whether Patricia is relieved of liability under section 6013(e)?
3. Whether Patricia and Arne are liable as transferees for Mysse’s unpaid tax liabilities?

Holding

1. Yes, because the evidence showed Mysse embezzled funds and did not report

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

them, resulting in unreported income for each year.
2. Yes, because Patricia met the criteria of section 6013(e) as an innocent spouse; she did not know of the omissions, did not benefit from them, and it would be inequitable to hold her liable.
3. Yes, because Mysse was insolvent when he transferred assets to Patricia and Arne, making them liable as transferees for those assets.

Court's Reasoning

The court found that Mysse embezzled funds based on discrepancies in bank records and the issuance of unauthorized certificates of deposit. Despite no clear evidence of what Mysse did with the funds, the court inferred unreported income from the misappropriations. For Patricia's relief under section 6013(e), the court determined she met the criteria because she did not know of the omissions, did not benefit from them beyond ordinary support, and it would be inequitable to hold her liable given the circumstances. The court also found that Mysse was insolvent when he transferred assets to Patricia and Arne, making them liable as transferees under Montana law. The court rejected the IRS's claim for interest on Patricia's transferee liability, finding it was not ascertainable until the court's decision.

Practical Implications

This decision establishes that innocent spouses can be relieved of joint tax liability if they meet the criteria of section 6013(e), emphasizing the importance of the spouse's knowledge and benefit from omitted income. It also highlights the potential for transferee liability when assets are transferred by an insolvent taxpayer, even in the context of family transfers. The case underscores the need for careful analysis of a spouse's knowledge and involvement in financial matters when assessing joint tax liability. Subsequent cases have applied this ruling to similar situations involving innocent spouses and transferee liability. Tax practitioners must advise clients on the potential implications of joint filing and the risks of transferee liability when receiving assets from insolvent individuals.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.