Heron Steamship Co. v. Commissioner, 50 T. C. 404 (1968)

The sale of a charter party can be treated as a capital gain under Section 1231(a) if it is considered property used in a trade or business and not merely a right to earn future income.

Summary

Heron Steamship Co. purchased a tanker and an associated charter party, which it later sold after the tanker's destruction. The court determined that the gain from the sale of the charter party qualified as a long-term capital gain under Section 1231(a), as the charter party was treated as property used in Heron's trade or business and not merely a right to earn future income. This decision hinged on the charter party's market value fluctuations due to external market forces, distinguishing it from contracts for personal services.

Facts

Heron Steamship Co. purchased the tanker S. S. Valchem and an associated charter party with Metropolitan Petroleum Co. on June 10, 1957. The charter party was a consecutive voyage charter for five years, extendable by another five years, with a rate set by the U. S. Maritime Commission. In December 1958, after duPont's space charter expired, Metropolitan assumed full capacity obligations. After the Valchem was destroyed in a collision in March 1959, Heron sold the charter party to Ocean Freighting for $1,300,000 on June 8, 1959. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue treated the gain from this sale as ordinary income, while Heron argued it should be treated as capital gain.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined deficiencies in the Federal income tax of the petitioners, leading to consolidated cases. Heron initially reported the gain as long-term capital gain on its tax return for the year ended May 31, 1959. The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency, asserting the gain was ordinary income. The case proceeded to the Tax Court, where the sole issue was the characterization of the gain from the sale of the charter party.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the gain from the disposition of the Metropolitan charter by Heron on June 8, 1959, constitutes ordinary income under Section 61 or capital gain under Section 1231(a).

Holding

1. No, because the Metropolitan charter was treated as property used in Heron's trade or business, and its sale resulted in a long-term capital gain under Section

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1231(a).

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that the Metropolitan charter was treated as property when acquired by Heron, and its value was determined by market forces rather than the inherent right to earn future income. The court emphasized that the charter’s value increased due to a decline in charter rates, not due to changes in projected income from voyages. The court distinguished this case from those involving personal service contracts, noting the impersonal nature of ship charters traded in organized markets. The court rejected the Commissioner’s argument that the charter was merely a bundle of rights to earn future income, citing the industry practice and market-driven value of the charter. The court also distinguished the case from Corn Products Refining Co. v. Commissioner, as the sale of the charter was not a regular transaction in Heron’s business but resulted from the vessel’s destruction.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the sale of a charter party can qualify for capital gain treatment under Section 1231(a) if it is considered property used in a trade or business. Legal practitioners should consider the market-driven nature of the asset’s value and its distinction from personal service contracts when advising clients on similar transactions. This ruling impacts how companies in the shipping industry and similar sectors should report gains from the sale of intangible assets like charter parties. Subsequent cases should analyze whether an asset’s value is primarily influenced by market forces or inherent income rights when determining tax treatment.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.