Krause v. Commissioner, 56 T. C. 1242 (1971)

A grantor is taxable on trust income that may be used to pay their gift tax liability, but not on income received after the gift taxes are paid and the grantor's interest in the trust is terminated.

Summary

Victor Krause established trusts for his grandchildren, stipulating that the trustees pay the resulting gift taxes using trust income, proceeds from the trust corpus, or borrowed funds. The IRS argued that all trust income in 1964 was taxable to Krause. The Tax Court held that Krause was taxable only on the trust income received before the gift taxes were paid, as his interest in the trusts ended upon payment of the taxes. This decision clarified that the taxability of trust income hinges on the grantor's interest at the time the income is received.

Facts

Victor Krause transferred shares of stock to three trusts for his grandchildren, with the trustees agreeing to pay the gift taxes arising from these transfers. The trusts had the discretion to use income, sell parts of the corpus, or borrow funds to cover these taxes. In 1964, the trustees borrowed funds to pay the gift taxes, using stock as collateral. The trusts received dividend income before and after the taxes were paid. The IRS determined that all trust income was taxable to Krause, asserting he retained an income interest until the taxes were paid.

Procedural History

The IRS assessed a deficiency in Krause's 1964 federal income tax, arguing that the trust income used to pay gift taxes should be taxable to him. Krause petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of this deficiency. The court's decision focused on the applicability of Internal Revenue Code sections 671 and 677 to the trust income before and after the gift tax payment.

Issue(s)

1. Whether trust income received before the payment of gift taxes is taxable to the grantor under IRC sections 671 and 677.
2. Whether trust income received after the payment of gift taxes is taxable to the grantor under IRC sections 671 and 677.

Holding

1. Yes, because the trust income received before the gift taxes were paid could be used to discharge Krause's legal obligation to pay those taxes, making him taxable under sections 671 and 677.
2. No, because after the gift taxes were paid, Krause was divested of any interest in

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

the trusts, and thus, the subsequent trust income was not taxable to him under sections 671 and 677.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied IRC sections 671 and 677, which tax the grantor on trust income if the grantor retains substantial dominion and control over the trust’s income or property. The court found that before the gift taxes were paid, Krause retained an interest in the trusts because the income could be used to pay his legal obligation. However, once the taxes were paid, Krause’s interest in the trusts terminated, and he was no longer treated as an owner under section 677. The court emphasized that the key factor is the grantor’s interest at the time the income is received, not how the trustees actually use the funds. The court also rejected the IRS’s alternative argument that the transaction constituted a part sale, part gift, following precedent that such a condition does not alter the gift nature of the transfer.

Practical Implications

This decision guides practitioners in structuring trusts where the trustee may pay gift taxes, ensuring that only income received before the payment of such taxes is taxable to the grantor. It clarifies that once the grantor’s obligation is satisfied, subsequent trust income is not taxable to them, affecting how trusts are used in estate planning to minimize tax liabilities. The ruling may influence future cases involving trust income and grantor’s obligations, emphasizing the timing of income receipt relative to the grantor’s interest. It also highlights the importance of precise trust language and the need for trustees to consider the tax implications of their discretionary actions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.