Estate of Lafayette Montgomery v. Commissioner, 49 T. C. 497 (1968)

Annuity-insurance combination transactions must involve an actual insurance risk to avoid estate tax inclusion under IRC Section 2039.

Summary

In Estate of Lafayette Montgomery v. Commissioner, the court determined that proceeds from life insurance policies, part of an integrated annuity-insurance transaction, were includable in the decedent's gross estate for estate tax purposes under IRC Section 2039. The decedent had purchased an annuity and simultaneously arranged for trusts to buy life insurance policies on his life. The court found no actual insurance risk was borne by the insurer, classifying the transaction as an investment rather than insurance, thus triggering estate tax inclusion of the policy proceeds.

Facts

Lafayette Montgomery created two irrevocable trusts for his grandchildren's benefit on May 4, 1964. The next day, he applied for a life annuity from National Life Insurance Co. , paying $2,200,000 for it, and the trusts applied for $1 million life insurance policies each on Montgomery's life. The policies were issued the following day, with the trusts paying premiums funded by gifts from Montgomery. Montgomery received monthly annuity payments until his death on October 31, 1964, after which the trusts received $1,066,469 from each policy. The estate excluded these proceeds from the gross estate, but the Commissioner challenged this exclusion.

Procedural History

The estate filed a tax return excluding the life insurance proceeds from the gross estate. The Commissioner determined a deficiency, asserting the proceeds should be included under IRC Sections 2035 and 2039. The Tax Court focused on Section 2039, finding it controlling and holding the proceeds includable in the gross estate.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the proceeds of life insurance policies, part of an integrated annuity-insurance transaction, are includable in the decedent's gross estate under IRC Section 2039.

Holding

1. Yes, because the transaction did not involve an actual insurance risk, rendering the life insurance policies subject to inclusion in the gross estate under Section 2039.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court analyzed whether the transaction involved an insurance risk, citing Helvering v. LeGierse and Estate of Keller v. Commissioner for the principle that true insurance involves risk-shifting and risk-distributing. The court found that National Life Insurance Co. bore no insurance risk because the annuity payment guaranteed a return on the investment, making the transaction essentially an investment rather than insurance. The court also noted that the annuity and life insurance policies were part of an integrated transaction, which was crucial for applying Section 2039. This section requires the inclusion of proceeds from any contract or agreement providing annuity payments to the decedent and other payments to beneficiaries upon the decedent’s death. The court concluded that the life insurance proceeds were includable in the gross estate under Section 2039 because they were payments receivable by the beneficiaries by reason of surviving the decedent under an integrated contract or agreement.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of distinguishing between investment and insurance transactions for estate tax purposes. Attorneys should carefully structure annuity-insurance combinations to ensure they involve genuine insurance risk if the goal is to avoid estate tax inclusion under Section 2039. The ruling affects estate planning strategies, particularly those involving trusts and life insurance policies, by clarifying that integrated transactions lacking insurance risk will be subject to estate tax. Subsequent cases, such as Estate of Knipp v. Commissioner, have followed this reasoning, emphasizing the necessity of actual risk for insurance policies to be treated as such for tax purposes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.