

Dressler v. Commissioner, 56 T. C. 210 (1971)

The court will deny a taxpayer's request for small tax case status only if the issue is of importance and will establish a principle of law applicable to other tax cases.

Summary

In *Dressler v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court denied the Commissioner's motion to prevent a case from being tried as a small tax case. The case involved whether a music minister could exclude a housing allowance from income under Section 107 of the IRC. The court emphasized that small tax case status should not be denied unless the issue is of significant legal importance. Since the issue in *Dressler* was primarily factual and unlikely to establish a broad legal principle, the court upheld the taxpayer's right to an economical trial under Section 7463, illustrating the court's commitment to preserving this procedural option for small deficiency cases.

Facts

John Dressler, a music minister in the Methodist Church, sought to exclude a \$2,599.92 housing allowance from his 1967 taxable income under IRC Section 107. The Commissioner challenged this, arguing that the issue was novel and should not be tried as a small tax case. Dressler had been consecrated as a minister of music and performed various religious duties. The Commissioner filed a motion to deny Dressler's request for the case to be conducted under the small tax case procedures of Section 7463, citing the issue's potential legal significance.

Procedural History

Dressler filed a petition on January 4, 1971, and requested small tax case status under Section 7463. The Commissioner filed an answer and a motion to deny this request on February 9, 1971. The Tax Court heard arguments and issued its decision on May 6, 1971, denying the Commissioner's motion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Tax Court should deny a taxpayer's request for small tax case status under Section 7463 when the Commissioner argues the issue is novel and legally significant.

Holding

1. No, because the Commissioner did not show that the issue was of sufficient legal importance to establish a principle applicable to other tax cases.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Rule 36(c)(2) of the Tax Court's Rules of Practice, which allows

the Commissioner to move to deny small tax case status. However, the court emphasized that such a request should only be granted if the issue is of significant legal importance, as per Section 7463. The court found that the issue in Dressler was primarily factual, concerning whether Dressler's role and duties qualified him as a "minister of the gospel" under Section 107. The court cited previous cases like Salkov, Lawrence, and Kirk to establish that the determination of "minister of the gospel" status often hinges on factual analysis. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the issue's potential recurrence in future years justified denying small tax case status, stating that this alone does not meet the threshold of legal significance required to deny such status. The court concluded that the Commissioner failed to demonstrate that the issue would establish a new legal principle, thus denying the motion.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the Tax Court's commitment to preserving the small tax case procedure for cases involving small deficiencies, even when the Commissioner argues the issue's novelty. Attorneys should be aware that the court will not lightly deny small tax case status and that factual issues alone are unlikely to meet the threshold for denying such status. This ruling may encourage taxpayers to more confidently request small tax case status, knowing that the court will protect their right to an economical trial unless the issue is of broad legal significance. Subsequent cases have continued to apply this principle, ensuring that the small tax case procedure remains a viable option for taxpayers with small deficiencies.