Hyplains Dressed Beef, Inc. v. Commissioner, 56 T. C. 119 (1971)

Accrued salaries are considered constructively received by officers, allowing the corporation a deduction, even if not paid within 2 1/2 months after the tax year, if no substantial restrictions on payment exist.

Summary

Hyplains Dressed Beef, Inc. accrued officers' salaries but did not pay them within 2 1/2 months after the close of the taxable years 1964 and 1965. The issue was whether the company could deduct these salaries under section 267(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court held that the salaries were constructively received by the officers, allowing the deduction, as there were no substantial restrictions on payment despite the company's financial condition and the salaries being lumped into a general account. The decision underscores the importance of the constructive receipt doctrine in determining the deductibility of accrued salaries.

Facts

Hyplains Dressed Beef, Inc. , a Kansas corporation, accrued officers' salaries for the taxable years 1964 and 1965 but did not pay them in cash within 2 1/2 months after the end of each year. The officers, who were also shareholders, reported their income on a cash basis and did not include the accrued salaries in their income for those years. The company's board minutes suggested that payment would be made when it would not impair working capital, when the business could afford it, or when it would provide tax advantages. The accrued salaries were credited to a general salaries and wages payable account, not individual accounts.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction of the accrued salaries, claiming they were not paid within the required period and not included in the officers' gross income. Hyplains Dressed Beef, Inc. petitioned the United States Tax Court, arguing the salaries were constructively received by the officers.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the accrued officers' salaries were constructively received by the officers, allowing Hyplains Dressed Beef, Inc. to deduct them under section 267(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code?

Holding

1. Yes, because the accrued salaries were constructively received by the officers despite not being paid within 2 1/2 months after the close of the taxable years, as there were no substantial restrictions on payment.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the constructive receipt doctrine, which requires that income be included in a taxpayer’s gross income when it is credited to their account, set apart for them, or otherwise made available so that they can draw upon it at any time. The court found that despite the company’s board minutes suggesting conditions for payment, these did not constitute substantial restrictions. The company had the ability to borrow to pay the salaries, which negated any financial condition restriction. The court also dismissed the argument that the salaries were not constructively received because they were credited to a general account rather than individual accounts, citing precedent that required only definite corporate action, not individual account crediting. The failure to withhold taxes on the accrued salaries was not considered fatal to the deduction claim, as the case involved income tax, not withholding tax issues.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that corporations can deduct accrued salaries under section 267(a)(2) if the salaries are constructively received by the officers, even if not paid within the statutory period. It emphasizes the importance of the absence of substantial restrictions on payment. Practitioners should ensure that any conditions on payment are not substantial enough to negate constructive receipt. This ruling may encourage corporations to accrue salaries for tax planning purposes, knowing that the constructive receipt doctrine can allow deductions. Subsequent cases, such as Platt Trailer Co. and Geiger & Peers, Inc. , have applied similar reasoning in upholding deductions for accrued salaries.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.