Heidrich v. Commissioner, 55 T. C. 746 (1971)

A transfer in trust for a minor can qualify for the annual gift tax exclusion if it meets the requirements of Section 2503(c), allowing the trustee broad discretion to expend the trust's assets for the minor's benefit.

Summary

The Heidrichs established trusts for their minor children and grandchildren, funding them with corporate debenture bonds. The trusts allowed the trustees broad discretion to use the income and principal for the beneficiaries' education, comfort, and support until they reached 21, at which point the beneficiaries could demand the trust assets. The court held that these trusts qualified for the annual gift tax exclusion under Section 2503(c) because they met the statutory requirements, despite the Commissioner's argument that the trusts imposed "substantial restrictions" on the trustees' discretion.

Facts

The Heidrich family, consisting of Herman, Sarah, Francis, Doris, Paul, and Martha, established separate trusts for their minor children and grandchildren. Each trust was funded with corporate debenture bonds. The trusts' terms allowed the trustees to use the income and principal for the beneficiaries' education, comfort, and support as necessary, with any unexpended funds to be distributed to the beneficiary upon reaching age 21, provided the beneficiary made a written demand. No legal guardians were appointed for the beneficiaries during their minority.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the Heidrichs' gift taxes, asserting that the transfers to the trusts were gifts of future interests ineligible for the annual exclusion under Section 2503(b). The Heidrichs petitioned the Tax Court, which consolidated the cases. The court ultimately found for the Heidrichs, ruling that the trusts qualified for the exclusion under Section 2503(c).

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Heidrichs' transfers to the trusts for their minor children and grandchildren constituted gifts of present interests under Section 2503(c), thus qualifying for the annual gift tax exclusion.

Holding

1. Yes, because the trust terms allowed the trustees broad discretion to expend the trust's assets for the beneficiaries' benefit during minority, and the beneficiaries had a right to demand the trust assets upon reaching age 21, satisfying Section 2503(c).

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court analyzed the trust terms and found that the trustees had discretion to use the trust’s income and principal for the beneficiaries’ education, comfort, and support, which were broad enough purposes to not constitute “substantial restrictions” under the regulations. The court distinguished the case from others where the trust terms imposed narrower restrictions. The court also found that the requirement for a written demand upon reaching age 21 did not prevent the trust from satisfying Section 2503(c)(2)(A), as the demand was within the beneficiary’s power to make. The court rejected the Commissioner’s reliance on cases and rulings that did not address the specific “substantial restrictions” language of the regulations.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that trusts for minors can qualify for the annual gift tax exclusion if they provide the trustee with broad discretion to expend the trust’s assets for the minor’s benefit and allow the minor to demand the trust assets upon reaching age 21. Attorneys should draft trust instruments to meet these requirements, ensuring the language does not impose “substantial restrictions” on the trustee’s discretion. This ruling impacts estate planning by allowing families to transfer assets to minors without incurring gift tax, provided the trusts are structured correctly. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, emphasizing the importance of the trustee’s discretion and the beneficiary’s right to demand assets at age 21.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.