Jungreis v. Commissioner, 55 T. C. 581 (1970)

Educational expenses incurred by graduate students to meet minimum educational requirements for their intended profession are not deductible, even if the education is required by the employer.

Summary

Arthur M. Jungreis, a graduate teaching assistant at the University of Minnesota, sought to deduct his graduate school tuition and fees as business expenses. The Tax Court ruled that these expenses were not deductible under IRC section 162(a) because they were required to meet the minimum educational requirements for his intended career as a professor, not for his current position as a teaching assistant. The court emphasized that the education was a condition precedent to obtaining new employment contracts rather than a condition to retain an established employment relationship. This decision clarified the non-deductibility of educational expenses for graduate students pursuing their intended profession.

Facts

Arthur M. Jungreis was employed part-time as a graduate teaching assistant at the University of Minnesota while pursuing a Ph. D. in zoology. His goal was to become a full-time faculty member, which required a Ph. D. degree. The university required graduate students to be enrolled in the graduate school to be eligible for and to retain their positions as teaching assistants. Jungreis incurred tuition and fees of $296 in 1967, which he attempted to deduct on his federal income tax return as business expenses under IRC section 162(a).

Procedural History

Jungreis filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court after the Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed his deduction. The Tax Court heard the case and issued its decision on December 24, 1970, ruling in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the educational expenses incurred by Jungreis for graduate courses were deductible under IRC section 162(a) as ordinary and necessary business expenses because they maintained or improved skills required in his employment as a teaching assistant.
2. Whether the educational expenses met the express requirements of Jungreis's employer imposed as a condition to retain his established employment relationship as a teaching assistant.
3. Whether the educational expenses were required to meet the minimum educational requirements for qualification in Jungreis's intended trade or business as a professor.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. No, because Jungreis failed to show a direct and proximate relationship between the graduate courses and the skills required in his employment as a teaching assistant.
2. No, because the requirement to be enrolled in graduate school was a condition precedent to obtain new employment contracts as a teaching assistant, not a condition to retain an established employment relationship.
3. No, because the education was required to meet the minimum educational requirements for Jungreis's intended profession as a professor, making the expenses non-deductible under the regulations.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied IRC section 162(a) and the 1967 regulations, particularly section 1. 162-5(b)(2), which disallows deductions for educational expenses required to meet minimum educational requirements for a trade or business. The court found that Jungreis's ultimate goal was to become a professor, and the graduate education was necessary to meet the minimum requirements for that position. The court distinguished Jungreis's case from prior cases like Marlor v. Commissioner and United States v. Michaelsen, emphasizing that Jungreis's education was a condition precedent to obtaining new contracts, not a condition to retain an established employment relationship. The court also noted that the 1967 regulations were valid and had been previously upheld by the court. Judge Tannenwald concurred, stating that Jungreis worked because he studied, not the other way around.

Practical Implications

This decision establishes that educational expenses incurred by graduate students to meet the minimum requirements for their intended profession are not deductible, even if the education is required by their employer for their current position. Practitioners should advise graduate students that tuition and fees for courses leading to a degree necessary for their intended career are personal expenses and not deductible. This ruling impacts graduate students and universities, as it clarifies the tax treatment of educational expenses for students employed in temporary positions while pursuing their degrees. Subsequent cases have followed this reasoning, and it remains a key precedent in the area of educational expense deductions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.