

***Utech v. Commissioner, 55 T. C. 434, 1970 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 18 (U. S. Tax Court, December 9, 1970)***

Stipends received by temporary government employees for services that benefit the employer are taxable as compensation, not excludable as fellowship grants.

**Summary**

Harvey P. Utech, a postdoctoral research associate at the National Bureau of Standards (NBS), sought to exclude part of his \$10,250 stipend as a fellowship grant under IRC section 117. The Tax Court held that the stipend was taxable compensation because Utech's research directly benefited NBS, aligning with its operational objectives. The court emphasized that the stipend was equivalent to salaries of permanent employees, and Utech was subject to similar supervision and employment conditions. This decision underscores that stipends linked to services for the employer's benefit are not fellowship grants, affecting how similar arrangements are taxed.

**Facts**

Harvey P. Utech participated in the National Bureau of Standards' (NBS) postdoctoral research associate program in 1966, receiving a \$10,250 stipend. He was appointed as a one-year temporary government employee under Schedule A of Civil Service regulations. Utech's research project on the effects of thermal convection on crystal growth was approved by NBS because it aligned with the Bureau's operational interests. The program aimed to bring in young Ph. D. s to contribute new research ideas and enhance the Bureau's staff. Utech received the same supervision, work hours, and leave benefits as regular NBS employees of similar qualifications.

**Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Utech's 1966 federal income taxes, disallowing his exclusion of \$3,600 as a fellowship grant. Utech petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which reviewed the case and issued its opinion on December 9, 1970, ruling in favor of the Commissioner.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether the stipend received by Utech from NBS in 1966 is excludable from his gross income as a fellowship grant under IRC section 117.

**Holding**

1. No, because the stipend was compensation for services rendered to NBS, which directly benefited from Utech's research aligned with its operational objectives.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied IRC section 117 and the related regulations, which exclude from fellowship grants amounts paid as compensation for services subject to the grantor's supervision or for the grantor's primary benefit. Utech's research was integral to NBS's operational goals, and he was treated as an employee, receiving equivalent pay and benefits as permanent staff. The court cited *Bingler v. Johnson* (394 U. S. 741, 1969) to affirm that payments for services rendered should not be excludable as scholarships or fellowship grants. The court also noted that the involvement of the National Academy of Sciences in Utech's selection did not change the nature of his stipend as compensation. The court emphasized that NBS received a clear material benefit from Utech's work, thus his stipend was taxable income under IRC section 61.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that stipends paid to individuals for services that benefit the employer are taxable as compensation, not excludable as fellowship grants. Legal practitioners should advise clients in similar positions to report such income on their tax returns. The ruling impacts how research institutions structure postdoctoral programs to avoid unintended tax consequences for participants. Businesses and government agencies must carefully design stipend programs to ensure they do not inadvertently create taxable income situations. Subsequent cases, such as *Reese v. Commissioner* (45 T. C. 407, 1966), have applied similar reasoning to determine the tax treatment of stipends based on the nature of services rendered and the benefits received by the employer.