

***Ohio Pike Savings and Loan Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 55 T. C. 388 (1970)***

A deduction for additions to bad debt reserves under section 593 of the Internal Revenue Code cannot be claimed without proper and timely accounting entries on the taxpayer's books.

**Summary**

Ohio Pike Savings and Loan Company sought a deduction for additions to its bad debt reserves under section 593 of the Internal Revenue Code, which allows deductions for certain financial institutions using the reserve method for bad debts. The taxpayer failed to make the required accounting entries for these additions on its books. The court held that the deduction was invalid because the taxpayer did not comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements for establishing and maintaining such reserves. This decision emphasizes the necessity of adhering to specific accounting practices when claiming deductions for bad debt reserves, impacting how similar claims must be substantiated in future cases.

**Facts**

Ohio Pike Savings and Loan Company, a domestic building and loan association, filed its 1964 tax return claiming a deduction of \$1,099. 77 for additions to its bad debt reserves. The company used the reserve method for accounting bad debts. However, the company did not make any entries in its general ledger for the claimed additions to the reserves. The Commissioner disallowed the deduction, stating that the amount was not reflected on the regular books of account as required by sections 166(c) and 593 of the Internal Revenue Code and the regulations thereunder. The taxpayer paid the assessed deficiency but later sought a refund, arguing that subsequent adjustments to its taxable income should allow a recomputed deduction under the regulations.

**Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Ohio Pike Savings and Loan Company's income tax for 1964, disallowing various deductions, including the bad debt reserve addition. The taxpayer paid the deficiency but contested the disallowance of the bad debt reserve deduction. The case proceeded to the United States Tax Court, where the taxpayer abandoned its objection to the original disallowance but argued for a recomputed deduction based on subsequent adjustments to its taxable income.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether section 1. 593-5(b)(2) of the regulations permits the taxpayer to deduct a recomputed addition to its bad debt reserves based on an increase in its taxable income after the original deduction was disallowed for failure to comply with

accounting requirements.

## **Holding**

1. No, because the original deduction for additions to bad debt reserves was fatally defective due to the taxpayer's failure to make proper accounting entries as required by the statute and regulations, and section 1. 593-5(b)(2) does not permit subsequent adjustments to be credited to the reserves in such circumstances.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the deduction under section 593 requires strict compliance with accounting rules, which include timely crediting of reserve additions on the taxpayer's books. The court emphasized that the regulations under section 1. 593-5(b)(2) allow for adjustments to previously credited amounts, but these adjustments presuppose that the initial addition to the reserves was validly made. The court cited section 593(c) and the implementing regulations, which mandate the establishment and maintenance of specific reserve accounts on the taxpayer's regular books of account. The court also referenced prior cases like *Leesburg Federal Savings & Loan Association*, *Commercial Savings & Loan Association*, and others to support the requirement of proper accounting entries. The court rejected the taxpayer's argument that its situation was analogous to a case where no taxable income was reported, stating that the taxpayer's failure to comply with the comprehensive scheme of reserve accounting was decisive.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision underscores the importance of meticulous adherence to accounting practices when claiming deductions for bad debt reserves. Taxpayers must ensure that additions to reserves are properly and timely recorded on their books to claim such deductions. The ruling affects how financial institutions and similar entities should approach their tax planning and compliance, emphasizing the need for accurate and contemporaneous accounting. It also impacts how the IRS and courts will evaluate similar claims in the future, reinforcing the strict application of the statutory and regulatory framework. Subsequent cases, such as *Leesburg Federal Savings & Loan Association*, have continued to uphold the necessity of proper accounting entries for such deductions.