

Estate of Bertha M. Redford, Deceased, the First National Bank of Chicago, Executor, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 55 T. C. 364 (1970)

Funds remaining in a pension plan account at the time of a beneficiary's death must be included in the beneficiary's gross estate if the original employee's designation was incomplete and the funds revert to the employee's estate.

Summary

In *Estate of Redford v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court held that funds remaining in a pension plan account upon the death of Bertha Redford, the designated beneficiary, should be included in her estate. Percy Redford, the original employee, designated Bertha as his beneficiary but did not provide for the disposition of any remaining funds upon her death. The court determined that, under the pension plan's terms, these funds reverted to Percy's estate and passed to Bertha by intestacy, thus necessitating their inclusion in her estate for federal tax purposes. This decision underscores the importance of clear beneficiary designations in estate planning to avoid unintended tax consequences.

Facts

Percy Redford, an employee at Walgreen Co. , participated in the Charles R. Walgreen Memorial Pension Trust Plan. Upon his death in 1958, he had designated his wife, Bertha Redford, as his beneficiary. The plan allowed for monthly payments to the beneficiary, with any remaining funds to be distributed to the employee's estate if no successor beneficiary was named. Bertha attempted to designate her children as successor beneficiaries in 1959, but this designation was not recognized by the plan's trustees. When Bertha died in 1963, funds remained in the account, leading to the dispute over their inclusion in her estate.

Procedural History

The executor of Bertha's estate filed a federal estate tax return that included the pension plan funds but later sought a refund, arguing that the funds should not have been included. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the estate tax, leading to the petition before the U. S. Tax Court. The court's decision focused on whether the funds were properly included in Bertha's gross estate under sections 2033 or 2037 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the value of amounts remaining in a pension plan account on the date of death of Bertha Redford should be included in her gross estate under section 2033 or section 2037 of the Internal Revenue Code?

Holding

1. Yes, because the court determined that the funds reverted to Percy Redford's estate upon Bertha's death due to an incomplete beneficiary designation, and thus passed to Bertha by intestacy, making them includable in her estate under section 2033.

Court's Reasoning

The court interpreted the pension plan's provisions to mean that any funds not fully distributed upon a beneficiary's death revert to the original employee's estate if no successor beneficiary is named. In this case, Percy's designation of Bertha did not address the disposition of remaining funds upon her death, thus triggering the plan's reversion clause. The court rejected the petitioner's argument that the plan's trustees' distribution practices or later amendments to the plan could alter this outcome, citing Illinois law on the limited effect of custom and usage in contract interpretation. The court emphasized that without a clear designation of a successor beneficiary, the funds must be treated as part of Percy's estate, which passed to Bertha by intestacy, making them part of her gross estate for tax purposes.

Practical Implications

This decision highlights the critical need for clear and comprehensive beneficiary designations in pension and retirement plans to avoid unintended tax consequences. Attorneys and estate planners must ensure that clients understand the importance of planning for all contingencies, including the death of a primary beneficiary. The ruling suggests that similar cases should be analyzed to determine whether the original employee's designation was complete and whether any remaining funds revert to the employee's estate. This case also has implications for the drafting of employee benefit plans, as it underscores the necessity of clear provisions regarding the disposition of remaining funds upon the death of beneficiaries. Subsequent cases may reference Estate of Redford when addressing the tax treatment of pension plan funds in estate planning.