Robbins Door & Sash Co. v. Commissioner, 55 T. C. 313 (1970)

Affiliated corporations can elect to file separate tax returns in the year affected by a significant change in tax law, not in the prior year.

Summary

Robbins Door & Sash Co. and its subsidiaries had filed consolidated tax returns for 1961-1963. Following the enactment of the Revenue Act of 1964, they filed separate returns for 1964 and 1965. The IRS argued that the election to file separate returns should have been made in 1963. The U. S. Tax Court held that the election could be made in the first taxable year affected by the new law (1964), not the prior year. This decision clarified that significant changes in tax law allow for a new election in the year the changes apply.

Facts

Robbins Door & Sash Co. and its subsidiaries filed consolidated tax returns for the years 1961, 1962, and 1963. The 1963 return was filed on June 16, 1964, under an extension. Following the enactment of the Revenue Act of 1964 on February 26, 1964, the company and its subsidiaries filed separate returns for the years 1964 and 1965. The IRS challenged this, asserting that the election to file separate returns should have been made in 1963, the year before the new law took effect.

Procedural History

The IRS determined deficiencies in Robbins Door & Sash Co. ‘s federal income tax for 1964 and 1965 due to their filing of separate returns. Robbins Door & Sash Co. petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which then ruled in favor of the company, allowing the election to file separate returns for the years 1964 and 1965.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Robbins Door & Sash Co. could elect to file separate tax returns for the taxable years 1964 and 1965 after having filed a consolidated return for 1963 due to the enactment of the Revenue Act of 1964?

Holding

1. Yes, because the Revenue Act of 1964 constituted a significant change in tax law, allowing Robbins Door & Sash Co. to make a new election to file separate returns for the first taxable year affected by the Act, which was 1964.

Court’s Reasoning

The court’s decision rested on the interpretation of the consolidated return regulations, specifically section 1. 1502-11A of the Income Tax Regulations. These

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

regulations allow for a new election to file separate returns if there is a significant change in the law after the initial election to file consolidated returns. The court found that the Revenue Act of 1964 was such a change, and thus the election to file separate returns could be made in the first taxable year affected by this change, which was 1964. The court rejected the IRS's argument that the election should have been made in 1963, as that year was unaffected by the new law. The court also noted the IRS's inconsistent positions over time regarding the timing of such elections, ultimately siding with a literal interpretation of the regulations that allowed for the election in the affected year.

Practical Implications

This decision provides clarity for corporations regarding the timing of their election to switch from consolidated to separate tax returns following a significant change in tax law. It establishes that the election should be made in the first taxable year affected by the change, not in the prior year. This ruling impacts how corporations plan their tax strategies in response to new legislation, ensuring they can fully assess the impact of changes before making an election. It also highlights the need for clear and consistent guidance from the IRS, as their varying positions had led to confusion. Subsequent cases have cited Robbins Door & Sash Co. for its interpretation of the consolidated return regulations and its application to significant tax law changes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.