Lucille Howard v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 54 T. C. 855 (1970); 1970 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 154

Payments received for the release of alleged dower rights are taxable income if the underlying divorce decree extinguishing those rights is valid.

Summary

In Howard v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that payments received by Lucille Howard for releasing alleged dower rights were taxable income. Howard's former husband, Vince Nelson, had divorced her by service of process through publication in 1944. Over 20 years later, when Nelson sold land, he paid Howard $40,000 to release any dower rights. The court found the divorce valid under Florida law, thus Howard had no dower rights to release. Consequently, the payment was deemed taxable income under Section 61 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Facts

In 1944, Vince Nelson, while in the U. S. Army, divorced Lucille Howard via service by publication, alleging he could not locate her. Howard learned of the divorce within weeks but took no legal action to contest it. She remarried in 1947 and divorced again in 1949. Between 1950 and 1961, Nelson acquired land in Florida. In 1965, facing mortgage foreclosure, Nelson sold land to Bessemer Properties, Inc. , for $322,350. The buyer questioned the validity of Nelson's divorce and required Howard's release of dower rights. Howard agreed to release any rights for $30,000 cash and two lots valued at $10,000. The transaction closed on April 19, 1965, the same day as Nelson's foreclosure sale.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Howard's 1965 income tax return, asserting that the $40,000 she received was taxable income. Howard petitioned the U. S. Tax Court to contest this determination, arguing the payment was for her dower rights and thus not taxable.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the $40,000 received by Lucille Howard from Vince Nelson for signing a deed constituted taxable income under Section 61 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. Yes, because Howard failed to prove the 1944 divorce decree was invalid, thus she had no inchoate dower rights to release in 1965, rendering the payment taxable income.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court applied Florida law, which allows divorce by service of process through publication if diligent efforts to locate the defendant fail. The court found Nelson's affidavit, stating he could not locate Howard, sufficient under Florida law. Howard's failure to challenge the divorce for over 20 years, despite knowing about it, supported the court's view that the divorce was valid. The court also considered Howard's subsequent marriages and lack of action to contest the divorce as evidence of her acquiescence to its validity. The court rejected Howard's reliance on Lyeth v. Hoey, noting that case involved a compromise over a will, not a disputed marital status. Howard's claim of dower rights lacked merit, as she had no such rights under Florida law following the valid divorce. The payment was deemed taxable income under Section 61 of the Internal Revenue Code, which defines gross income as all income from any source unless excluded by law.

Practical Implications

This case underscores the importance of challenging divorce decrees promptly if there are doubts about their validity. For tax purposes, payments received for releasing rights that do not exist are taxable income. Legal practitioners should advise clients to carefully review divorce decrees and consider the tax implications of any subsequent settlements involving marital rights. The ruling also highlights that under Florida law, a divorce decree becomes res judicata on property rights, even if not specifically adjudicated, emphasizing the need to address all relevant issues during divorce proceedings. Subsequent cases applying this ruling have reinforced the principle that the taxability of payments depends on the validity of underlying legal rights.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.