Neri v. Commissioner, 54 T. C. 767 (1970)

The IRS can use deficiency procedures to recover improper refunds resulting from net operating loss carryback adjustments, not just erroneous refund suits.

Summary

The Neris, shareholders of a subchapter S corporation, received tax refunds based on net operating loss carrybacks applied to incorrect years following IRS advice. The IRS later determined these refunds were erroneous and issued a notice of deficiency. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's right to use deficiency procedures for recovery, rejecting the Neris' claim that the IRS was limited to an erroneous refund suit. The court also found that the IRS was not estopped from correcting its mistake despite having given erroneous advice.

Facts

John S. and Mary C. Neri were shareholders of Plyorient Corp. , a subchapter S corporation. Plyorient incurred net operating losses for its fiscal years ending April 30, 1963, 1964, and 1965. Following advice from an IRS representative, the Neris filed applications for tentative carryback adjustments, applying these losses to their income tax returns for earlier years (1959, 1961, and 1962) instead of the years in which the corporation's fiscal years ended (1963, 1964, and 1965). The IRS allowed these adjustments and issued refunds. Later, the IRS determined these refunds were erroneous because the losses should have been applied to the years in which the corporation's fiscal years ended, as per IRC section 1374(b).

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency on February 2, 1968, determining deficiencies for the Neris' 1959, 1961, and 1962 tax years. The Neris challenged this in the U. S. Tax Court, arguing the IRS should have used an erroneous refund suit under IRC section 7405 to recover the refunds within two years, rather than deficiency procedures. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the IRS, affirming the use of deficiency procedures.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the IRS's notice of deficiency, issued on February 2, 1968, was timely, or whether the IRS was required to proceed in a suit for an erroneous refund to recover the excessive amounts refunded to the Neris.
2. Whether the IRS is estopped from asserting the deficiencies due to erroneous advice given by its officials to the Neris when they filed their applications for tentative carryback adjustments.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because the IRS was not required to use an erroneous refund suit exclusively; it could also use deficiency procedures to recover the improper refunds.
2. No, because the erroneous advice given by the IRS representative does not estop the IRS from determining the deficiencies, as this was a mistake in interpreting the law.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that IRC section 6501(h) allows the IRS to assess deficiencies arising from erroneous carryback adjustments within the period it could assess deficiencies for the year the net operating loss occurred. The court found that the notice of deficiency was timely issued within this period for the relevant years. The court also emphasized that the IRS is not limited to using erroneous refund suits under IRC section 7405 for recovery, as indicated by the legislative history of IRC section 6411, which contemplates the use of deficiency notices. Regarding estoppel, the court cited the principle from *Automobile Club v. Commissioner* that the doctrine of equitable estoppel does not bar the IRS from correcting a mistake of law, thus rejecting the Neris' estoppel argument.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the IRS has the flexibility to use deficiency procedures to recover improper refunds resulting from net operating loss carryback adjustments, in addition to erroneous refund suits. Taxpayers and their advisors must be aware that the IRS can pursue deficiencies even after issuing refunds based on carryback adjustments, especially if those adjustments were made to incorrect years. The ruling also underscores that taxpayers cannot rely on erroneous advice from IRS representatives to prevent the correction of legal mistakes by the IRS. This case has been cited in subsequent decisions to support the IRS's use of deficiency procedures in similar situations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.