

Haley v. Commissioner, 54 T. C. 642 (1970)

Educational leave grants provided by an employer to an employee are taxable compensation if they are given in exchange for past, present, or future services.

Summary

Marjorie Haley, an employee of the Jackson County Public Welfare Commission, received educational leave grants from the Oregon State Welfare Commission to attend the University of Washington. The issue was whether these grants were taxable income or excludable as scholarships or fellowships. The U. S. Tax Court ruled that the grants were taxable compensation because they were tied to Haley's employment obligations, including a commitment to work for the state or county welfare system after her studies. The court's decision emphasized that payments made in exchange for services, past or future, do not qualify as scholarships or fellowships under section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Facts

Marjorie E. Haley was employed as a supervisor trainee by the Jackson County Public Welfare Commission in Oregon. In 1963 and 1964, she applied for and received educational leave grants from the Oregon State Public Welfare Commission to attend the University of Washington, School of Social Work. She received \$3,510 in 1964 and \$2,200 in 1965. Haley agreed to work for the Oregon welfare system for a specified period after completing her studies, or repay the grants if she failed to fulfill this obligation. The grants were funded 75% by the federal government and 25% by the State of Oregon, but disbursed from Oregon's general funds.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Haley's income tax for 1964 and 1965, asserting that the educational leave grants were taxable income. Haley filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court to challenge this determination. The Tax Court, in its decision filed on March 26, 1970, upheld the Commissioner's position and ruled that the grants were taxable compensation.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the educational leave grants received by Haley from the Oregon State Welfare Commission are excludable from gross income as scholarships or fellowship grants under section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the grants were given in exchange for Haley's commitment to work for the Oregon welfare system, making them compensation for past or future services rather than scholarships or fellowships.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code and the corresponding Treasury Regulations, which exclude from gross income amounts received as scholarships or fellowships but not amounts representing compensation for services. The court cited *Bingler v. Johnson* (394 U. S. 741 (1969)), which clarified that payments given as a quid pro quo for services are not excludable as scholarships or fellowships. The court found that Haley's grants were tied to her employment obligations, as evidenced by her agreements to work for the state or county welfare system post-study. The court rejected Haley's argument that the grants were from the federal government, noting that the funds were disbursed by the State of Oregon. The court also referenced *Ussery v. United States* (296 F. 2d 582 (5th Cir. 1961)) and *Stewart v. United States* (363 F. 2d 355 (6th Cir. 1966)), where similar educational leave grants were held taxable as compensation.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how employers and employees should treat educational leave grants for tax purposes. Employers providing such grants as part of an employment agreement must treat them as taxable compensation, and employees must report them as income. This ruling influences the structuring of educational leave programs, encouraging employers to clearly define the nature of such grants. It also affects the tax planning of employees considering further education, as they must account for the tax implications of employer-funded educational leave. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, solidifying the principle that educational grants tied to employment obligations are taxable income.