

## ***Gutierrez v. Commissioner, 53 T. C. 394 (1969)***

A resident alien for part of the year must only include in their gross income the portion of a foreign personal holding company's undistributed income that corresponds to the time they were a resident.

### **Summary**

Silvio Gutierrez, a Venezuelan citizen, became a U. S. resident alien on March 1, 1961. He owned Gulf Stream Investment Co. , Ltd. , a foreign personal holding company, which operated on a fiscal year ending August 31, 1961. The issue was whether Gutierrez must include the full year's undistributed income of Gulf Stream in his 1961 U. S. tax return or only the portion earned after he became a resident. The Tax Court held that only the income earned during the period of residency (184/365 of the fiscal year) should be included in Gutierrez's gross income, rejecting a literal interpretation of the statute that would tax the entire year's income. The court also disallowed a bad debt reserve deduction claimed by Gulf Stream due to insufficient evidence.

### **Facts**

Silvio Gutierrez, a Venezuelan citizen, became a resident alien of the United States on March 1, 1961. He was the sole shareholder of Gulf Stream Investment Co. , Ltd. , a Bahamian corporation, throughout its fiscal year ending August 31, 1961. Gulf Stream's income for that fiscal year was derived solely from investments. Gutierrez filed his 1961 U. S. income tax return on a cash basis, including only 184/365 of Gulf Stream's income earned after his residency began. Gulf Stream's financial statements showed loans to five Venezuelan individuals and a reserve for doubtful loans, which Gutierrez sought to deduct.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Gutierrez's 1961 tax return, asserting that the entire undistributed income of Gulf Stream for its fiscal year should be included in Gutierrez's gross income. Gutierrez petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which had previously upheld a literal interpretation of the relevant statute in similar cases (Marsman and Alvord). However, in this case, the Tax Court reversed its prior stance and followed the Fourth Circuit's decision in Marsman, holding for Gutierrez on the issue of the includable income. The court also ruled against Gutierrez on the bad debt reserve deduction issue.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether under section 551(b), I. R. C. 1954, a resident alien must include in their gross income the entire amount of a foreign personal holding company's undistributed income for a fiscal year that began when they were a nonresident alien.

2. Whether Gulf Stream Investment Co. , Ltd. is entitled to a deduction for a reserve for bad debts.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the court found that the statute did not intend to tax income earned before the taxpayer became a resident alien, and thus only 184/365 of Gulf Stream's income, corresponding to Gutierrez's period of residency, is includable in his gross income.
2. No, because Gulf Stream failed to establish that the loans were bona fide debts or that the reserve amount was reasonable.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that a literal interpretation of section 551(b) would lead to an unreasonable result, taxing income earned before Gutierrez became a resident alien. The court followed the Fourth Circuit's decision in *Marsman*, which had reversed a prior Tax Court decision, emphasizing that the purpose of the statute was to prevent tax avoidance by U. S. citizens and residents, not to tax nonresidents' income. The court also noted that subsequent legislation (section 951(a)(2)(A) of the 1962 Revenue Act) suggested a different approach for similar situations, supporting a non-literal interpretation. On the bad debt issue, the court found that Gulf Stream did not provide sufficient evidence to establish the existence of bona fide debts or the reasonableness of the reserve.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that partial-year residents are only taxed on the portion of a foreign personal holding company's income earned during their period of residency. Tax practitioners should carefully consider the residency status of clients when calculating taxable income from foreign entities. The ruling may encourage taxpayers to adjust their residency timing to minimize tax liability. The disallowance of the bad debt reserve underscores the need for clear documentation and evidence when claiming such deductions. Subsequent cases have cited *Gutierrez* in discussions of the taxation of foreign income for partial-year residents, and it remains relevant in planning for individuals with international income streams.