Peerless Weighing & Vending Machine Corp. v. Commissioner, 52 T. C. 850 (1969)

Costs incurred by a lessor to terminate a lease early are capital expenditures, not deductible as ordinary business expenses.

Summary

Peerless Weighing & Vending Machine Corp. sought to deduct $25,955. 85 paid to terminate a tenant's lease early to convert the property into a parking lot. The Tax Court held these costs were capital expenditures because they were for acquiring a capital asset (the remaining term of the lease), not deductible as ordinary business expenses under IRC §162 for the year incurred. This ruling underscores that expenses related to gaining control over leased property for future use are capital in nature.

Facts

Peerless purchased a building in Chicago in 1962. One tenant, Home Arts Guild Corp. , had a lease expiring in 1970. In 1963, Peerless paid $25,955. 85 to terminate this lease early, allowing the building's demolition and conversion to a parking lot, which was completed by October 1964. Peerless claimed these costs as an ordinary business expense deduction for 1963.

Procedural History

Peerless filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the IRS's disallowance of the deduction. The Tax Court reviewed the case and ruled in favor of the Commissioner, determining that the expenditures were capital in nature.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the $25,955. 85 paid by Peerless to terminate the lease with Home Arts Guild Corp. in 1963 is deductible as an ordinary and necessary business expense under IRC §162?

Holding

1. No, because the expenditure was for acquiring a capital asset (the unexpired term of the lease), which had a definite life beginning after the year in question.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court relied on precedent that costs for terminating a lease are capital expenditures, as they acquire an interest in real estate for the lessor. The court cited cases like *Henry B. Miller* and *Trustee Corporation*, emphasizing that the expenditure was to gain possession of the property for future use beyond 1963. The

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

court rejected Peerless's argument for immediate deduction, stating that the benefit (possession and subsequent use) extended into future years. The court noted that the expenditure was not for an expense of 1963 but rather to secure a capital asset with a life extending into subsequent years.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that costs incurred to terminate leases early to gain control over property for future use are capital expenditures, not immediately deductible as business expenses. Attorneys should advise clients to capitalize and amortize such costs over the remaining lease term. This ruling affects real estate and business planning, as it may influence decisions on property use and development timelines. Subsequent cases, such as *Trustee Corporation*, have followed this precedent, solidifying the rule that lease termination costs for future property use are capital expenditures.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.