Estate of Frances R. Pollard, Harold K. Burt, Executor, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 52 T. C. 741 (1969)

The value of a life estate created by an antenuptial agreement does not qualify as a deductible claim against an estate under the estate tax law.

Summary

In Estate of Pollard v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that the commuted value of a life estate in the decedent's property, as stipulated in an antenuptial agreement between the decedent and her husband, could not be deducted from her gross estate. The agreement, signed just before their marriage at age 85, waived dower and curtesy rights and provided the surviving spouse with a life estate in the other's property. The court found that such an arrangement did not constitute a claim contracted for "adequate and full consideration in money or money's worth" under Section 2053(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, as it was essentially a testamentary disposition.

Facts

Frances R. Pollard and her husband, both nearly 85 years old, entered into an antenuptial agreement three days before their marriage in 1960. The agreement waived any dower, curtesy, or statutory rights in each other's property and stipulated that the surviving spouse would receive a life estate in the other's property. At the time of marriage, Pollard's assets were valued at approximately $164,000, while her husband's were valued at around $114,000. Pollard died in 1962, and her estate sought to deduct the value of the life estate from her gross estate for estate tax purposes.

Procedural History

The executor of Pollard's estate filed a tax return claiming a deduction for the value of the husband's life estate under the antenuptial agreement. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction, leading to a deficiency in estate tax. The estate appealed to the United States Tax Court.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the commuted value of the husband's life estate in the decedent's property, as provided in the antenuptial agreement, qualifies as a deductible "claim" under Section 2053(a)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the life estate does not constitute a claim contracted for "adequate and full consideration in money or money's worth" under Section 2053(c)(1)(A), as the antenuptial agreement was essentially a testamentary disposition rather than a

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

claim for consideration.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court, in its ruling, emphasized that the antenuptial agreement was a single contract with interdependent provisions, including the waiver of dower and curtesy rights, which Section 2043(b) explicitly states cannot be considered as consideration in money or money’s worth. The court further reasoned that even if the life estate provision were severable, it would not qualify as “adequate and full consideration in money or money’s worth” because it represented a reciprocal testamentary disposition. The court cited the legislative history of the estate tax provisions, noting the intent to prevent deductions of what are essentially gifts or testamentary distributions under the guise of claims. The court rejected the deduction, stating that allowing it would provide a means to avoid estate taxes, contrary to the statutory purpose.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that life estates created by antenuptial agreements between spouses do not qualify as deductible claims for estate tax purposes, as they are considered testamentary dispositions rather than claims for consideration. Attorneys should advise clients that such agreements cannot be used to reduce estate tax liabilities through deductions. This ruling may impact estate planning strategies, particularly for older couples entering into late-in-life marriages. It also serves as a reminder of the narrow scope of deductible claims under the estate tax law, reinforcing the need for careful consideration of the tax implications of antenuptial agreements. Subsequent cases have cited Estate of Pollard in distinguishing between valid claims and testamentary dispositions in estate tax calculations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.