Spangler v. Commissioner, 323 F. 2d 913 (9th Cir. 1963)

Settlement proceeds from the release of employment-related rights, including stock options, are taxable as ordinary income.

Summary

In Spangler v. Commissioner, the court determined that the $75,000 received by the petitioner in a settlement for releasing his employment rights, including a stock option, was taxable as ordinary income. The court's decision hinged on the option being compensation for services rendered. The petitioner argued for capital gain treatment, but the court found the settlement proceeds to be compensatory in nature, hence subject to ordinary income tax. This case clarifies the tax treatment of settlement proceeds tied to employment rights, emphasizing the importance of the underlying claim's nature over the manner of collection.

Facts

The petitioner, employed by Builders, received a nontransferable option to purchase Builders' stock as part of his employment agreement. The option was intended to compensate him for his services in relation to an atomic energy project. Upon settling a lawsuit with Builders for $75,000, the petitioner released his rights to the stock option and other employment-related claims. The IRS assessed the settlement proceeds as ordinary income, while the petitioner claimed they should be treated as capital gains.

Procedural History

The case originated in the Tax Court, where the IRS's assessment was upheld. The petitioner appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, which affirmed the Tax Court's decision, holding that the settlement proceeds were taxable as ordinary income.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the $75,000 received by the petitioner in settlement for releasing his employment-related rights, including a stock option, constitutes ordinary income or capital gain.

Holding

1. Yes, because the settlement proceeds were for the release of compensatory rights connected to the petitioner's employment, making them taxable as ordinary income.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the principle that any economic or financial benefit conferred on

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

an employee as compensation, regardless of form, is includible in gross income as ordinary income. The court found that the stock option was granted as compensation for the petitioner's services, supported by evidence that the option was nontransferable, would expire upon the petitioner's death, and was intended to incentivize good performance. The court cited Commissioner v. Smith and Commissioner v. LoBue to establish that such compensatory benefits are taxable as ordinary income. The court also referenced Spangler v. Commissioner to reinforce that the nature of the underlying claim, not the manner of collection, determines the tax treatment. The court rejected the petitioner's argument that the settlement should be treated as capital gain, emphasizing that the option and other rights released were compensatory in nature.

Practical Implications

This decision has significant implications for how settlement proceeds from employment-related claims are taxed. It establishes that such proceeds, even if received through litigation or settlement, are generally taxable as ordinary income if they are connected to employment compensation. Legal practitioners should advise clients that attempting to characterize such settlements as capital gains is likely to fail unless the underlying claim is clearly unrelated to employment compensation. Businesses should be aware that offering stock options or other compensatory benefits as part of employment agreements could lead to ordinary income tax implications for employees upon settlement of related claims. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the tax treatment of settlement proceeds as ordinary income when they stem from compensatory employment rights.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.