

## ***MacDonald v. Commissioner, 52 T. C. 386 (1969)***

Payments made by an employer to an employee for full salary during an employer-sponsored education program are taxable income, not excludable as scholarships or fellowship grants.

### **Summary**

John E. MacDonald, an IBM employee, received his full salary while pursuing a Ph. D. under IBM's advanced education program. The IRS determined this salary was taxable income, not a scholarship or fellowship grant under Section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court held that the payments were primarily for IBM's benefit and represented compensation for past or future services, thus taxable. This decision reinforced the principle that employer-funded education payments are taxable when tied to employment benefits and expectations.

### **Facts**

John E. MacDonald, an IBM employee since 1952, was selected in 1960 for IBM's advanced education program to pursue a Ph. D. in electrical engineering at the University of Illinois. IBM continued to pay MacDonald his full salary of \$15,300 annually during his studies, which he claimed as a scholarship or fellowship grant on his 1961 tax return. IBM expected participants to return to the company after their studies and selected candidates based on their potential to contribute to the company's needs.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in MacDonald's 1961 income tax due to his exclusion of the \$15,300 as a scholarship or fellowship grant. MacDonald petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case and ultimately ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether payments received by MacDonald from IBM during his participation in the employer-sponsored education program are excludable from gross income as a "scholarship" or "fellowship grant" under Section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the payments were primarily for the benefit of IBM and represented compensation for past or expected future employment services, making them taxable income under the applicable regulations and Supreme Court precedent.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court applied Section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code and its regulations, as upheld by the Supreme Court in *Bingler v. Johnson*. The court noted that the payments to MacDonald did not qualify as scholarships or fellowship grants because they were compensation for services and primarily for IBM's benefit. The court considered the selection process, the expectation of return to IBM, and the continuity of salary and benefits during the program. The court emphasized that the program's objectives were to enhance IBM's technical competence and attract high-quality personnel, not to provide tax-free scholarships. The court also referenced other cases where similar payments were found taxable.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that employer-sponsored education payments are generally taxable when they are tied to employment benefits and expectations. Attorneys and tax professionals should advise clients that full salary payments during such programs are unlikely to be excludable as scholarships or fellowship grants. Businesses must carefully structure their education programs to avoid unintended tax consequences for employees. The ruling has influenced subsequent cases involving employer-funded education and has been cited in discussions about the tax treatment of educational benefits. It underscores the importance of distinguishing between compensation and true scholarships or fellowships in tax planning and compliance.