Frankfort v. Commissioner, 52 T. C. 163 (1969)

Payments made to a deceased partner's successor in interest for unrealized receivables are deductible if they do not exceed the deceased's interest in those receivables.

Summary

In Frankfort v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court held that payments made by Fred Frankfort, Jr. , to his deceased father's widow, pursuant to their partnership agreement, were deductible as they constituted payments for unrealized receivables. The partnership, H. Frankfort & Son, had earned but not yet received real estate commissions at the time of Fred Frankfort, Sr. 's death. The court found these commissions to be unrealized receivables and allowed the deductions for payments to the widow, as they did not exceed the deceased's interest in the receivables.

Facts

Fred Frankfort, Jr. , and his father, Fred Frankfort, Sr. , operated a real estate brokerage and management business as partners under the name H. Frankfort & Son. Upon the father's death in 1961, the son continued the business and made payments to his mother, the widow, as per the partnership agreement. The agreement stipulated weekly payments to the widow for her life or until remarriage. At the time of the father's death, the partnership had earned but not yet received commissions from 25 real estate sales contracts.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deductions claimed by Fred Frankfort, Jr. , for the payments to his mother, asserting they were nondeductible personal expenses. Frankfort appealed to the U. S. Tax Court, which held in favor of Frankfort, allowing the deductions for the payments as they were for unrealized receivables.

Issue(s)

1. Whether payments made by Fred Frankfort, Jr. , to his mother pursuant to the partnership agreement are deductible under sections 736 and 751 of the Internal Revenue Code as payments for unrealized receivables.

Holding

1. Yes, because the payments were for unrealized receivables and did not exceed the deceased partner's interest in those receivables, thus they are deductible under sections 736 and 751 of the Internal Revenue Code.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied sections 736 and 751 of the Internal Revenue Code, which deal with payments to a retiring or deceased partner and the treatment of unrealized receivables. The court determined that the real estate commissions, although not recorded as assets on the partnership’s books until received, were valuable assets at the time of Fred Frankfort, Sr. ‘s death. These commissions were classified as unrealized receivables under section 751(c). The court reasoned that the payments to the widow were intended to reflect the deceased’s interest in these commissions, and since they did not exceed his 55% interest in the $24,010 of unrealized commissions, they were deductible. The court emphasized the lack of additional costs and the short time between the father’s death and the collection of commissions, supporting the valuation of the unrealized receivables. The court also noted the absence of any provision in the partnership agreement that would preclude the allocation of unrealized receivables to the payments made to the widow.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that payments made to a deceased partner’s successor in interest can be deductible as payments for unrealized receivables if they do not exceed the deceased’s interest in those receivables. Legal practitioners should carefully analyze partnership agreements to determine the nature of payments upon a partner’s death or retirement, especially in relation to unrealized receivables. This case may influence how partnerships structure their agreements to optimize tax treatment of payments made upon a partner’s exit. Businesses in industries with significant unrealized receivables, such as real estate, should be aware of this ruling when planning partnership liquidations or buyouts. Subsequent cases, such as Miller v. United States, have referenced this decision in discussions about the tax treatment of payments for unrealized receivables.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.