

***Gordon B. and Elizabeth H. Todd, Petitioners v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 51 T. C. 987 (1969), 1969 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 168***

Book entries of credits in a taxpayer's ledger do not constitute indebtedness for the purpose of interest deductions unless they reflect a true debtor-creditor relationship.

### **Summary**

In *Todd v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court ruled that Gordon Todd's annual ledger entries crediting his family members did not create a valid debtor-creditor relationship, thus disallowing his interest deductions. Todd claimed these entries, typically in multiples of \$3,000, were gifts and that he owed interest on them. However, the court found no actual transfer of funds or relinquishment of control over the money by Todd, hence no true indebtedness existed. This case underscores that for tax purposes, a debtor-creditor relationship must be substantiated beyond mere bookkeeping entries.

### **Facts**

Gordon B. Todd, operating under Gordon B. Todd & Co. , made annual ledger entries crediting various amounts to accounts in the names of his daughter, son-in-law, and grandchildren. These entries, usually in multiples of \$3,000, were recorded as unsecured loans in his business ledger. Todd claimed these entries represented gifts and that he owed interest on them, which he deducted on his tax returns. However, these credits did not involve any actual transfer of funds from the family members to Todd. In later years, Todd issued checks for the calculated interest, which were often endorsed and returned to him, or deposited and then returned via a single check from his daughter.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed Todd's interest deductions for the years 1961-1964, leading to a deficiency notice. Todd petitioned the U. S. Tax Court to contest these disallowances. The Tax Court consolidated the cases and held that the ledger entries did not create a valid debtor-creditor relationship, thus affirming the Commissioner's disallowance of the interest deductions.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the annual ledger entries crediting family members' accounts constituted gifts that created a debtor-creditor relationship sufficient to allow interest deductions under Section 163(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether Todd's argument that the credit balances constituted indebtedness under the contract principle of an account stated had merit.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the ledger entries did not represent a transfer of funds or relinquishment of control over the money by Todd, thus failing to establish a valid debtor-creditor relationship.
2. No, because the principle of an account stated requires prior transactions creating a debtor-creditor relationship, which did not exist here.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the principle that for a gift to be valid, the donor must irrevocably transfer dominion and control over the property to the donee. The court found that Todd's ledger entries did not meet this criterion as they did not involve an actual transfer of funds. The court referenced prior cases such as *Woodward v. United States* and *William Herbert Brown*, where similar attempts to establish indebtedness through personal notes or ledger entries were rejected. The court emphasized that Todd's control over the funds was never relinquished, and the mere act of recording entries in his ledger did not create an enforceable obligation. The court also dismissed Todd's argument about the account stated principle, as it requires an existing debtor-creditor relationship, which was absent. The court concluded that Todd failed to meet his burden of proof to establish the validity of the interest deductions.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision emphasizes the need for a clear and enforceable debtor-creditor relationship to claim interest deductions. Practitioners must ensure that any claimed indebtedness is supported by actual transfers of funds and not merely bookkeeping entries. This ruling impacts how similar family transactions are analyzed for tax purposes, reinforcing the scrutiny applied to such arrangements. It also affects how businesses and individuals structure their financial dealings to ensure compliance with tax laws. Subsequent cases, such as *Lewis C. Christensen*, have followed this reasoning, further solidifying the principle that mere ledger entries do not constitute valid indebtedness for tax deductions.