University Hill Foundation v. Commissioner, 51 T. C. 548 (1969)

Payments received by a charitable organization from leasing arrangements, including personal property leased with real property, can be considered tax-exempt if they do not constitute active participation in a business.

Summary

The University Hill Foundation, established to raise funds for Loyola University, engaged in multiple purchase-and-lease transactions, acquiring businesses and leasing them back to new operating entities. The IRS challenged the foundation's tax-exempt status and the nature of its lease income. The court held that the foundation was exempt under IRC § 501(c)(3) and that its lease income did not qualify as "unrelated business taxable income" under IRC § 512, as it was considered rent from real property, including personal property leased with real property, under IRC § 502.

Facts

The University Hill Foundation, organized in 1945, purchased various businesses from 1947 to 1954, including hotels, manufacturing plants, and lumber companies. These businesses were then leased back to newly formed operating companies in which former owners held minority interests. The foundation received 80% of the net profits from these businesses as rent, with 90% of the rent payments being used to pay the purchase price to the sellers. The foundation distributed its income to Loyola University and maintained no direct involvement in the day-to-day operations of the leased businesses.

Procedural History

The IRS revoked the foundation's tax-exempt status in 1956, asserting that it was not operated exclusively for charitable purposes and that its income was unreasonably accumulated. The foundation filed tax returns under protest and contested the IRS's determinations in the Tax Court. The Tax Court, after extensive hearings, ruled in favor of the foundation, affirming its exempt status and the non-taxable nature of its lease income.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the University Hill Foundation was exempt from federal income tax under IRC § 501(c)(3).
2. Whether the foundation's lease income constituted "unrelated business taxable income" under IRC § 512.

Holding

1. Yes, because the foundation was organized and operated exclusively for

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

charitable purposes, distributing its income to Loyola University, and its leasing activities fell within the exception provided by IRC § 502 for rents from real property.
2. No, because the lease income was considered rent from real property, including personal property leased with real property, under IRC § 512(b)(3), and thus excluded from unrelated business taxable income.

Court's Reasoning

The court analyzed the foundation's activities and determined that it did not actively participate in the businesses it leased, maintaining a passive role consistent with a lessor. The court interpreted the statutory language of IRC § 502 and § 512, focusing on the exclusion of rents from real property, including personal property leased with real property, from the definition of a trade or business. The court rejected the IRS's arguments that the lease arrangements were joint ventures or agency agreements, emphasizing that the foundation's rights were typical of a lessor's protections. The court also noted the legislative history, which aimed to limit the tax-exempt status of charitable organizations engaging in active business but did not intend to tax passive income from leasing arrangements. The dissent argued that the foundation's extensive leasing activities constituted a trade or business and that the rent received was not sufficiently tied to real property to qualify for the statutory exclusion.

Practical Implications

This decision provides guidance on how charitable organizations can structure leasing arrangements to maintain their tax-exempt status. It underscores the importance of maintaining a passive role in leased businesses and the broad interpretation of what constitutes "real property" for tax purposes. Practitioners should be cautious about the nature of lease agreements to ensure they do not cross the line into active business participation. Subsequent cases have continued to refine the boundaries of what constitutes unrelated business income, but this case remains a key precedent for understanding the tax treatment of lease income by exempt organizations. The decision also highlights the ongoing tension between the IRS and tax-exempt organizations over the scope of permissible income-generating activities.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.