Robinson v. Commissioner, 51 T. C. 520 (1968)

Self-employed individuals must substantiate business expenses with adequate records to claim deductions for travel, entertainment, and household expenses.

Summary

John Robinson, a theatrical agent, sought deductions for travel, entertainment, and household expenses for 1961-1963. The Tax Court allowed partial deductions for 1961 and 1962 under the Cohan rule, estimating amounts based on available evidence. For 1963, the court strictly applied IRC § 274, disallowing most deductions due to insufficient substantiation. Robinson was also allowed to file as head of household due to supporting his parents, but his attempts to deduct their living expenses as medical costs were rejected. The court found no negligence in record-keeping, thus no addition to tax was imposed.

Facts

John Robinson, an unmarried theatrical agent, claimed deductions for travel, entertainment, and household expenses for 1961, 1962, and 1963. He regularly visited nightclubs to scout and book talent, often entertaining performers and buyers. Robinson maintained a house used partly for business entertainment and supported his elderly parents in rest homes. He kept basic records but lacked detailed substantiation for many claimed expenses.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed most of Robinson’s claimed deductions, leading to a deficiency notice. Robinson petitioned the Tax Court, which partially upheld the deductions for 1961 and 1962 under the Cohan rule but strictly applied IRC § 274 for 1963, allowing only substantiated expenses. The court also ruled on Robinson’s status as head of household and the deductibility of his parents’ living expenses as medical costs.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Robinson is entitled to deductions for travel and entertainment expenses for 1961 and 1962 in excess of amounts allowed by the Commissioner.
2. Whether the Commissioner properly disallowed all of Robinson’s claimed travel and entertainment expenses for 1963 due to non-compliance with IRC § 274.
3. Whether Robinson is entitled to compute his taxes as head of household for 1961, 1962, and 1963.
4. Whether amounts paid for his parents’ living expenses in rest homes are deductible as medical expenses.
5. Whether Robinson is liable for additions to tax for negligence in record-keeping.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because Robinson incurred travel and entertainment expenses that were ordinary and necessary business expenses, but the court estimated allowable deductions due to inadequate records.
2. Yes, because Robinson failed to substantiate his expenses as required by IRC § 274, except for a small amount with adequate documentation.
3. Yes, because Robinson maintained a household (rest home) for his parents, which qualified him as head of household.
4. No, because the payments for his parents' living expenses were not for medical care but for general living costs.
5. No, because Robinson's record-keeping, while inadequate for substantiation, was not negligent or in intentional disregard of tax rules.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the Cohan rule for 1961 and 1962, estimating allowable deductions due to Robinson's inadequate but existing records. For 1963, the court strictly enforced IRC § 274, which requires detailed substantiation for deductions. The court recognized Robinson's business activities justified some entertainment expenses but emphasized the need for substantiation. On the head of household issue, the court liberally interpreted "household" to include rest home accommodations. For medical expense deductions, the court found no medical care was provided, thus disallowing the deductions. Regarding negligence, the court found Robinson's record-keeping, while insufficient for substantiation, was not negligent. The court noted, "The fact that we do not consider petitioner's records adequate to substantiate all of his claimed travel and entertainment expense deductions in 1961 and 1962 or to comply with the provisions of section 274 for the year 1963 does not require the conclusion that petitioner has been negligent or in intentional disregard for respondent's rules and regulations. "

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of detailed record-keeping for self-employed individuals claiming business expense deductions. For years before IRC § 274's effective date, courts may estimate deductions based on available evidence. However, after 1963, strict substantiation is required for travel, entertainment, and gift expenses. Practitioners should advise clients to maintain contemporaneous records of business expenses, including the amount, time, place, business purpose, and business relationship. The case also expands the definition of "household" for head of household status, potentially benefiting taxpayers supporting elderly parents in care facilities. However, it clarifies that general living expenses in such facilities are not deductible as medical expenses unless specific medical care is provided.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.