***Swinks v. Commissioner, 51 T. C. 13 (1968)***

A transferee can be held liable for a transferor's unpaid taxes if the transfer was voluntary, made without valuable consideration, and left the transferor insolvent.

**Summary**

Archie A. Swinks received $12,000 from Swinks Construction Co. , which rendered the company insolvent and unable to pay a large tax deficiency. The Tax Court held Swinks liable as a transferee because the transfer was voluntary, without consideration, and made while the transferor was insolvent. This decision is grounded in Georgia law and emphasizes the importance of consideration and solvency in assessing transferee liability for unpaid corporate taxes.

**Facts**

Swinks Construction Co. , a Georgia corporation engaged in residential construction, did not file its 1959 federal income tax return and owed a significant tax deficiency. Archie A. Swinks, the company's vice president and brother of the president, received $12,000 from the company in 1959 through a series of cash transfers. These transfers consumed all or virtually all of the company's assets, leaving it insolvent and unable to pay its tax liabilities. Swinks argued he provided valuable consideration for the transfers, but the court found no evidence to support this claim.

**Procedural History**

The IRS determined Swinks was liable as a transferee for the company's unpaid taxes and sent him a notice of transferee liability in 1966. Swinks filed a petition with the Tax Court, which limited the proceedings to issues of his transferee liability. The court found the company's tax deficiency to be established and focused on whether Swinks was liable as a transferee.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether Archie A. Swinks is liable as a transferee of Swinks Construction Co. to the extent of $12,000 plus interest for the company's unpaid tax deficiency.
2. Whether the assessment and collection of the deficiency from Swinks as a transferee are barred by the statute of limitations.

**Holding**

1. Yes, because the transfers to Swinks were voluntary, made without valuable consideration, and rendered the transferor insolvent.
2. No, because the statute of limitations does not bar the collection of the deficiency from Swinks as a transferee, given the transferor's failure to file a return.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

## Court’s Reasoning

The court applied Georgia law, specifically section 28-201(3) of the Georgia Code, which voids voluntary transfers made without valuable consideration by an insolvent debtor. The court found that Swinks Construction Co. was insolvent from January through June 1959, as its assets were insufficient to cover its liabilities, including the tax deficiency. The transfers to Swinks were voluntary, and he provided no valuable consideration. The court rejected Swinks’ argument that checks he issued to his brother and others constituted consideration, as they were not for the benefit of the company. The court also noted that the IRS’s burden of proof was met in showing transferee liability under federal law, and the statute of limitations did not bar the collection of the deficiency from Swinks due to the transferor’s failure to file a tax return.

## Practical Implications

This case illustrates the importance of maintaining corporate formalities and the potential liability of transferees for unpaid corporate taxes. Legal practitioners should advise clients to ensure that corporate transfers are made for valuable consideration and do not render the company insolvent. The decision reinforces the principle that transferee liability can be imposed retroactively for the transferor’s tax liabilities, even if unknown at the time of transfer. It also highlights the need for careful documentation of corporate transactions to avoid disputes over consideration. Subsequent cases have applied similar reasoning in determining transferee liability under state fraudulent conveyance laws.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 