

Frank J. Dreicer v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 49 T. C. 553 (1968)

To deduct expenses under Section 162, a taxpayer must show that their activities were conducted with the primary purpose of making a profit, even if the activities result in initial losses.

Summary

In *Frank J. Dreicer v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue*, the court ruled that Dreicer, who engaged in amateur automobile racing while employed full-time as an engineer, could deduct his racing expenses as business losses under Section 162 of the Internal Revenue Code. Despite consistent losses and minimal winnings, the court found that Dreicer's activities were conducted with the intent to make a profit. Key facts included Dreicer's dedication of time, his pursuit of racing knowledge, and his participation in races with potential for monetary gain. The court rejected the IRS's argument that Dreicer was merely preparing to enter the business, affirming that his ongoing racing efforts constituted a trade or business.

Facts

Frank J. Dreicer, an electrical engineer employed by North American Aviation, Inc. , began racing automobiles in 1960 with the goal of making a profit. Despite no prior experience with vehicles, he self-taught driving and purchased his first racing car, a midget, for \$125. Over the years, he owned several race cars and participated in approximately 15 races annually in 1964 and 1965. Dreicer's racing activities led to winnings of \$94 in 1964 and \$10 in 1965, while incurring significant expenses. He claimed these as business loss deductions on his tax returns, which the IRS disallowed, asserting Dreicer was not engaged in a trade or business.

Procedural History

The IRS determined deficiencies in Dreicer's income tax for 1964 and 1965, disallowing his claimed deductions for racing expenses. Dreicer petitioned the Tax Court, which heard the case and ultimately ruled in his favor, allowing the deductions.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Dreicer's automobile racing activities in 1964 and 1965 constituted a trade or business under Section 162 of the Internal Revenue Code, thus allowing him to deduct related expenses?

Holding

1. Yes, because Dreicer's activities were conducted with the primary purpose of making a profit, despite the initial losses and limited winnings, his automobile racing constituted a trade or business under Section 162.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the rule that expenses are deductible under Section 162 if they are incurred in carrying on a trade or business. The key factor was Dreicer's profit motive, which the court found credible based on his substantial time commitment, continuous effort to improve his racing capabilities, and participation in races with monetary prizes. The court cited cases like *Margit Sigray Besseney and Hirsch v. Commissioner*, which emphasized that even with initial losses, a taxpayer's stated intent to make a profit, along with other factual circumstances, can establish a trade or business. The court rejected the IRS's argument that Dreicer was merely preparing to enter the business, noting his active participation in races and ongoing efforts to overcome mechanical issues with his cars. The court also noted that Dreicer's social life and finances were affected by his dedication to racing, further supporting his profit motive.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that activities traditionally seen as hobbies can be considered a trade or business for tax purposes if conducted with a profit motive. Legal practitioners should advise clients to document their intent and efforts toward profitability, even in the face of initial losses. This ruling impacts how the IRS assesses the legitimacy of business loss deductions, particularly in non-traditional or emerging fields. Subsequent cases have applied this precedent to various activities, emphasizing the importance of the taxpayer's intent and the continuity of the enterprise. Businesses and individuals engaging in potentially profit-generating activities should maintain detailed records and demonstrate a businesslike approach to substantiate their claims for deductions.