Cohan v. Commissioner, 39 T. C. 1093 (1963)

Taxpayers must substantiate entertainment expenses with adequate records or sufficient evidence to claim deductions under IRC § 274(d).

Summary

In Cohan v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that the taxpayer, Cohan, could not deduct entertainment expenses under IRC § 274(d) due to insufficient substantiation. The court upheld the validity of regulations requiring written records and documentary proof for expenditures of $25 or more. Cohan's failure to provide such evidence led to the disallowance of his claimed deductions. The case underscores the strict substantiation requirements for entertainment expenses and the necessity of complying with IRS regulations to claim such deductions.

Facts

Cohan claimed deductions for entertainment expenses on his tax return. The Commissioner disallowed these deductions, asserting that Cohan failed to substantiate the expenses as required by IRC § 274(d). This section mandates that taxpayers provide adequate records or sufficient evidence to corroborate the amount, date, place, and business purpose of entertainment expenses. Cohan did not produce the required documentation or direct evidence for his expenditures of $25 or more.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency to Cohan, disallowing his entertainment expense deductions for lack of proper substantiation under IRC § 274. Cohan petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination, ruling that Cohan's failure to comply with the substantiation requirements of IRC § 274(d) and the accompanying regulations justified the disallowance of the deductions.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Cohan's failure to provide adequate records or sufficient evidence for his entertainment expenses of $25 or more violates the substantiation requirements of IRC § 274(d)?

Holding

1. Yes, because Cohan did not comply with the substantiation requirements set forth in IRC § 274(d) and the accompanying regulations, which mandate the provision of written records and documentary proof for expenditures of $25 or more.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court’s decision hinged on the interpretation and application of IRC § 274(d) and the regulations promulgated under it. The court affirmed that the regulations requiring taxpayers to maintain written records and retain documentary proof for entertainment expenses of $25 or more were a valid exercise of the Commissioner’s authority. The court emphasized that without such substantiation, only direct evidence like written statements or oral testimony from persons entertained would suffice. Cohan’s failure to provide any of these forms of evidence led the court to uphold the Commissioner’s disallowance of the deductions. The court also referenced the case of William F. Sanford, decided concurrently, which further supported the validity of the substantiation requirements. The court did not need to address whether Cohan’s expenses met the ordinary and necessary criteria under IRC § 162, as the failure to substantiate under § 274(d) was dispositive.

Practical Implications

Cohan v. Commissioner significantly impacts how taxpayers and their legal advisors approach entertainment expense deductions. It establishes a stringent standard for substantiation, requiring meticulous record-keeping and documentation for expenses of $25 or more. Legal practitioners must advise clients to maintain detailed records and retain receipts to meet these requirements. The decision also affects business practices, encouraging companies to implement robust expense tracking systems to ensure compliance with tax regulations. Subsequent cases have consistently applied this ruling, reinforcing the importance of substantiation for entertainment expenses. This case serves as a reminder of the IRS’s strict enforcement of substantiation rules and the potential consequences of non-compliance.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.