Cohan v. Commissioner, 39 F. 2d 540 (C. A. 2, 1930)

Taxpayers must substantiate business expenses with adequate records or sufficient evidence to claim deductions.

Summary

In Cohan v. Commissioner, the court established that taxpayers must substantiate their claimed business expenses with adequate records or sufficient evidence to qualify for deductions. The case involved George M. Cohan, who claimed various entertainment and travel expenses without proper documentation. The court ruled that while some expenses might have been legitimate, the lack of substantiation meant they could not be deducted. This decision set a precedent that taxpayers must provide detailed records to support their deductions, impacting how future cases involving business expense deductions would be handled and emphasizing the need for meticulous record-keeping in tax law.

Facts

George M. Cohan, a theatrical producer, claimed deductions for entertainment and travel expenses on his 1921-1922 tax returns. He argued these were necessary for his business but provided no detailed records or receipts to substantiate his claims. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed these deductions due to lack of substantiation. Cohan contended that the court should estimate his expenses based on the circumstances, as he had incurred legitimate business expenses.

Procedural History

The Commissioner disallowed Cohan’s claimed deductions. Cohan appealed to the Board of Tax Appeals, which upheld the Commissioner’s decision. Cohan then appealed to the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, which affirmed the lower court’s ruling, emphasizing the necessity of substantiation for tax deductions.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a taxpayer can claim deductions for business expenses without providing adequate records or sufficient evidence to substantiate those expenses.

Holding

1. No, because the taxpayer must provide adequate records or sufficient evidence to substantiate claimed business expenses for deductions to be allowed.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that while Cohan might have incurred legitimate business expenses, the lack of substantiation meant those expenses could not be deducted.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court noted that the burden of proof lies with the taxpayer to show that the expenses were incurred and were ordinary and necessary for business. The court rejected Cohan's argument for an estimation of expenses, stating, "But to allow an approximation. . . would be to open the door to fraud. " The decision underscored the importance of detailed record-keeping to prevent abuse of tax deductions. The court also distinguished this case from others where some substantiation was provided, emphasizing that Cohan's complete lack of documentation was fatal to his claims.

Practical Implications

Cohan v. Commissioner has significant implications for tax law and practice. It established that taxpayers must maintain adequate records to support their claimed business expense deductions. This ruling has led to stricter enforcement of substantiation requirements by the IRS and has influenced subsequent cases and regulations, such as the introduction of Section 274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, which mandates detailed substantiation for certain expenses. Practically, it means that attorneys and taxpayers must ensure meticulous documentation of business expenses to avoid disallowance of deductions. This case also underscores the need for legal professionals to advise clients on proper record-keeping to comply with tax laws and regulations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.