Audrey Realty, Inc. v. Commissioner, 50 T. C. 583 (1968)

Interest paid by a lending company is not deductible when determining personal holding company status under the 1964 amendments to the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

In Audrey Realty, Inc. v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that interest paid by a lending company could not be deducted for the purpose of determining whether the company qualified as a personal holding company under the 1964 amendments to the Internal Revenue Code. The petitioner, a Rhode Island corporation engaged in a loan business, sought to deduct interest paid to a bank on borrowed funds used for loans. The court held that, due to the specific language of the amended statute, such interest was not deductible, thereby classifying Audrey Realty as a personal holding company for 1964. This decision clarified the scope of allowable deductions for personal holding companies and reversed prior case law based on the statutory changes.

Facts

Audrey Realty, Inc. , a Rhode Island corporation, was organized in 1959 and conducted a loan business secured by real estate mortgages. In 1964, the company borrowed funds from a bank to make loans and paid $4,448. 93 in interest on these borrowed funds. The company sought to deduct this interest when calculating whether its business deductions exceeded 15 percent of its ordinary gross income, which would exempt it from being classified as a personal holding company under section 542(c)(6)(C) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Audrey Realty's income tax for 1964, asserting that the company was a personal holding company. Audrey Realty filed a petition with the United States Tax Court to challenge this determination. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's decision, ruling that the interest paid by Audrey Realty was not deductible under the amended statute.

Issue(s)

1. Whether interest paid by a lending company on borrowed funds used for making loans is deductible in determining if the company's business deductions exceed 15 percent of its ordinary gross income under section 542(c)(6)(C) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended by the Revenue Act of 1964.

Holding

1. No, because the 1964 amendment to section 542(d)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code specifically excludes interest deductions for the purpose of the business-

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

expense test in determining personal holding company status.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court’s decision was based on the clear language of the 1964 amendment to section 542(d)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code, which limited allowable deductions for personal holding companies to those under sections 162 or 404 only. The court highlighted that the amendment specifically excluded interest deductions, which are allowable under section 163, from being considered for the business-expense test. The court referenced the legislative history of the amendment, which confirmed that the intent was to exclude interest deductions. This statutory change rendered the prior case law, such as McNutt-Boyce Co. , inapplicable, as it was decided under an earlier version of the statute that allowed for broader deductions.

Practical Implications

The Audrey Realty decision significantly impacts how lending and finance companies should assess their tax status under the personal holding company rules. Companies must now exclude interest paid on borrowed funds when calculating whether their business deductions meet the 15 percent threshold of ordinary gross income. This ruling necessitates a careful review of financial structures and tax strategies for such companies to avoid unintended classification as personal holding companies. The decision also serves as a reminder of the importance of staying current with statutory changes that can alter established legal interpretations and precedents. Subsequent cases have applied this ruling to similar factual scenarios, reinforcing the principle established in Audrey Realty.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.