Coast Coil Co. v. Commissioner, 50 T. C. 528 (1968)

Losses on the sale of accounts receivable during corporate liquidation must be recognized as ordinary losses, not shielded by Section 337's nonrecognition provisions.

Summary

Coast Coil Co. sold its accounts receivable at a loss during its liquidation under Section 337. The Tax Court held that these receivables, arising from sales in the ordinary course of business, were 'installment obligations' excluded from nonrecognition treatment. Thus, the loss of $16,003. 80 was recognized as an ordinary loss, consistent with Congressional intent to treat such transactions as if the corporation were not liquidating. This ruling aligns with the precedent set in Family Record Plan, Inc. , emphasizing that ordinary business transactions should not be shielded by liquidation provisions.

Facts

Coast Coil Co. , engaged in manufacturing and selling electric and electronic equipment, adopted a liquidation plan on April 25, 1961. By June 29, 1961, it sold its assets, including accounts receivable, to McKay Manning, Inc. The accounts receivable, with a book value of $41,003. 80, were sold for $25,000, resulting in a loss of $16,003. 80. Coast Coil, using the accrual method of accounting, had previously reported the full face value of these receivables as income. The sale was negotiated at arm's length, reflecting the actual collectible value of the receivables.

Procedural History

The Commissioner disallowed the loss, asserting it was not recognizable under Section 337. Coast Coil filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court, claiming an overpayment due to the unrecognized loss. The Tax Court found that the loss should be recognized as an ordinary loss, not subject to the nonrecognition provisions of Section 337.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the sale of accounts receivable by Coast Coil Co. during its liquidation resulted in a recognizable loss.
2. Whether the accounts receivable sold fall within the nonrecognition-of-loss provisions of Section 337.

Holding

1. Yes, because the sale of the accounts receivable at a price less than their book value resulted in a loss of $16,003. 80, which was realized through arm's-length negotiations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

2. No, because the accounts receivable are installment obligations within the meaning of Section 337(b)(1)(B), thus excluded from the nonrecognition provisions of Section 337(a).

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 337(b)(1)(B), which excludes 'installment obligations' from the definition of 'property' eligible for nonrecognition treatment. The court interpreted 'installment obligations' to include accounts receivable from the sale of stock in trade, consistent with its prior ruling in Family Record Plan, Inc. The legislative intent was to treat sales in the ordinary course of business as ordinary transactions, even during liquidation. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that 'installment obligations' were limited to those under Section 453, emphasizing that the broader Congressional intent was to include accounts receivable from ordinary business transactions. Coast Coil's use of the accrual method meant it had already reported the income from these receivables, further supporting the ordinary loss treatment. The court also drew an analogy to Section 1221, noting that accounts receivable are not capital assets and thus not 'property' under Section 337.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that losses from the sale of accounts receivable during liquidation must be recognized as ordinary losses. Attorneys should advise clients to account for such losses in their tax planning, especially during liquidation, as they cannot be shielded by Section 337. The ruling impacts how corporations structure their liquidations, ensuring that ordinary business transactions are treated consistently, regardless of liquidation status. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the principle that liquidation does not alter the tax treatment of ordinary business transactions. Businesses undergoing liquidation must carefully consider the tax implications of selling accounts receivable, ensuring accurate valuation and documentation to support any claimed losses.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.