New York Seven-Up Bottling Co. , Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 50 T. C. 391 (1968)

Deferred compensation can only be deducted in the tax year it is paid, not when it is accrued, under Internal Revenue Code section 404(a)(5).

Summary

In New York Seven-Up Bottling Co. v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that the company could not deduct severance pay liability in the tax year it was accrued. The company had a severance pay plan that was frozen in 1959, and it attempted to deduct the remaining liability in its 1960 tax return. The court held that under IRC section 404(a)(5), the deduction was prohibited because the severance payments were not actually paid in the tax year 1960. This case clarifies that deferred compensation must be paid to be deductible, impacting how companies handle such liabilities for tax purposes.

Facts

New York Seven-Up Bottling Co. entered into a collective bargaining agreement in 1956 with the Soft Drink Workers Union, Local 812, which included a severance pay provision. In 1959, a new agreement eliminated the severance pay and replaced it with contributions to a union retirement fund, freezing any remaining severance pay benefits. The company, operating on an accrual basis, attempted to deduct $36,776. 95 in unpaid severance pay liability in its fiscal year ending March 31, 1960, claiming the liability accrued when the severance pay was frozen in 1959.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction and issued a deficiency notice. The company petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which held a trial and ultimately decided in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether IRC section 404(a)(5) prohibits the company from deducting the severance pay liability in its taxable year 1960 because the amount was not paid in that year.

Holding

1. Yes, because under IRC section 404(a)(5), the severance pay liability could not be deducted in the taxable year 1960 as it was not paid in that year.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court determined that the severance pay provision was a plan deferring the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

receipt of compensation, thus falling under IRC section 404(a). The court rejected the company's arguments that the severance pay was not a retirement benefit or that it fell outside the scope of section 404(a). The court interpreted the statute and regulations to mean that any plan providing deferred compensation, regardless of whether it was a retirement plan, was subject to section 404(a). Since the severance pay was not paid in the tax year 1960, it could not be deducted under section 404(a)(5), which requires payment in the year the deduction is claimed.

Practical Implications

This decision emphasizes that companies must pay deferred compensation in the year they wish to claim a deduction. It affects tax planning and financial reporting for companies with deferred compensation plans, as they must ensure payments are made in the appropriate tax year. The ruling has implications for how companies structure their compensation agreements and manage their tax liabilities. Subsequent cases have reinforced this principle, requiring companies to align their payment schedules with their tax strategies to maximize deductions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.