Horneff v. Commissioner, 50 T. C. 63 (1968)

Liabilities assumed and paid by a buyer in the year of sale are considered payments to the seller for the purpose of the 30% test under the installment method of reporting income.

Summary

The Horneffs sold their business for $50,000, with the buyer assuming $44,031. 45 in liabilities. In 1961, the buyer paid $30,378. 93 of these liabilities and $3,625 in cash to the Horneffs. The Tax Court held that these payments exceeded 30% of the total selling price, disqualifying the Horneffs from using the installment method to report the gain. The court reasoned that payments made by the buyer to third parties on assumed liabilities in the year of sale should be treated as payments to the seller, despite contrary rulings by appellate courts.

Facts

On August 29, 1961, J. Carl and Lula Horneff sold their sole proprietorship, Sunbeam Venetian Blind, to William and Alma Reiss for $50,000 cash and the assumption of $44,031. 45 in business liabilities. The sale agreement was finalized on October 17, 1961, effective September 1, 1961. In 1961, the Reisses paid $3,625 directly to the Horneffs and $30,378. 93 to third parties on the assumed liabilities. The Horneffs reported the sale on the installment method in their 1961 tax return, claiming a long-term capital gain of $1,065. 75.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the Horneffs' 1961 income tax and denied their use of the installment method. The Horneffs petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which upheld the Commissioner's determination. The court adhered to its prior ruling in Irwin v. Commissioner, despite contrary decisions by the Fifth and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals.

Issue(s)

1. Whether liabilities assumed and paid by the buyer in the year of sale should be considered payments to the seller for the purpose of the 30% test under the installment method of reporting income.

Holding

1. Yes, because the Tax Court held that liabilities assumed and paid by the buyer in the year of sale are to be included in the calculation of payments received by the seller in that year, thereby disqualifying the Horneffs from using the installment method as their payments exceeded 30% of the selling price.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the plain meaning of “payments” in the statute includes liabilities assumed and paid in the year of sale. The court distinguished between liabilities merely assumed and those actually paid, with the latter considered as increasing the seller’s net worth available to pay taxes. The court rejected the applicability of the regulation concerning assumed mortgages to nonmortgage liabilities, arguing it was intended for a different purpose. The court also noted that treating payments of assumed liabilities as payments to the seller provides equal treatment between sellers with different levels of liabilities. The majority opinion adhered to its prior decision in Irwin v. Commissioner, despite contrary rulings by appellate courts, emphasizing the importance of actual payment over mere assumption of liabilities.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how the 30% test for installment sales is calculated, requiring sellers to include liabilities assumed and paid by the buyer in the year of sale as part of their payments received. Practitioners must advise clients to structure transactions carefully to avoid exceeding the 30% threshold. The decision creates a split with appellate courts, potentially leading to uncertainty and litigation. Businesses selling assets with significant liabilities should consider alternative tax planning strategies, such as holding back receivables or liabilities or separating the sale into multiple transactions. This case underscores the need for clear tax regulations to guide sellers on the treatment of assumed liabilities in installment sales.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.