

Federal's, Inc. v. Commissioner, 47 T. C. 61 (1966)

A guarantor cannot deduct additions to a reserve for bad debts for accounts receivable sold to a bank unless it meets the specific criteria of IRC Section 166(g).

Summary

Federal's, Inc. v. Commissioner addresses the tax treatment of bad debt reserves for accounts receivable sold to a bank. The Tax Court ruled that *Federal's, Inc.*, as a guarantor, could not deduct additions to its reserve for bad debts under IRC Section 166(g) because it did not meet the statutory requirement of being a “dealer in property.” This decision underscores the strict application of statutory language in determining eligibility for tax deductions, even in cases involving valid business structures and purposes.

Facts

Federal's, Inc., operated department stores and sold its accounts receivable from sales in Michigan and Ohio to its wholly-owned subsidiary, petitioner, which then sold them without recourse to Manufacturers National Bank. The bank retained a 10% reserve, and petitioner handled the accounting and collection. If an account defaulted, the bank could retransfer it to petitioner, who would repurchase it. Petitioner sought to deduct additions to its reserve for bad debts based on these accounts, but the Commissioner disallowed the deductions.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued a statutory notice of deficiency for *Federal's, Inc.*'s fiscal years ending July 31, 1960, to July 27, 1963. *Federal's, Inc.* challenged the disallowance of its bad debt reserve deductions before the Tax Court. The case was reviewed by the full court, resulting in the decision under Rule 50.

Issue(s)

1. Whether petitioner, as a guarantor of accounts receivable sold to a bank, is entitled to deduct additions to its reserve for bad debts under IRC Section 166(g).

Holding

1. No, because petitioner does not meet the statutory requirement of being a “dealer in property” as defined in IRC Section 166(g)(1), and thus falls under the prohibition of section 166(g)(2).

Court's Reasoning

The court applied IRC Section 166(g), which was amended in 1966 to address the tax treatment of bad debt reserves for guarantors. The court noted that the

amendment was retroactive to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1953, and ending after August 16, 1954. The court emphasized that the statutory language of section 166(g) limited deductions to taxpayers who were dealers in property and whose obligations arose from the sale of real or tangible personal property. The court rejected petitioner's argument that the indirect method of selling accounts receivable through a subsidiary should not preclude the deduction, citing the clear statutory language and the separate entity status of petitioner and Federal's, Inc. The court referenced cases like *Interstate Transit Lines v. Commissioner* and *Moline Properties v. Commissioner* to support its stance on respecting corporate separateness for tax purposes.

Practical Implications

This decision highlights the importance of strict adherence to statutory language in tax law. Tax practitioners must ensure that clients meet the specific criteria of IRC Section 166(g) to claim deductions for bad debt reserves as guarantors. The ruling also underscores the tax consequences of corporate structuring, reminding businesses that while valid business purposes may exist for certain structures, tax benefits may not follow. Subsequent cases and IRS rulings have continued to apply this principle, reinforcing the need for careful tax planning and compliance with statutory requirements. This case serves as a cautionary tale for businesses considering similar arrangements for managing accounts receivable and seeking tax deductions.