Sheldon v. Commissioner, 50 T. C. 24 (1968)

Commuting expenses between home and regular place of employment are not deductible, even for employees on call for emergencies.

Summary

In Sheldon v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that Dr. Margaret Sheldon, a full-time anesthesiologist at Bergen Pines County Hospital, could not deduct her automobile expenses for commuting between her home and the hospital, despite being on call for emergencies. The court held that these expenses were personal commuting costs, not deductible under Section 262 of the Internal Revenue Code. This decision underscores the principle that commuting expenses to one's regular workplace are non-deductible, even when the employee is required to be available for emergency calls.

Facts

Dr. Margaret Sheldon was a full-time anesthesiologist at Bergen Pines County Hospital, responsible for scheduled and emergency operations. Her duty hours were from 8 a. m. to 4:30 p. m. , Monday through Friday, and she was on call 24 hours every other weekday and 48 hours every other weekend. She lived 5 miles from the hospital and drove her family's only car to work, making approximately 15 trips per week, 10 while on duty and 5 while on call. Sheldon sought to deduct 60% of her automobile expenses for the years 1962-1964, arguing they were necessary for her job due to the need for quick response to emergencies and the lack of adequate public transportation.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed Sheldon's deductions, leading to a tax deficiency determination. Sheldon filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the disallowance. The Tax Court, after hearing the case, upheld the Commissioner's determination and ruled in favor of the respondent.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the automobile expenses incurred by Dr. Sheldon for travel between her home and Bergen Pines County Hospital are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under Section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the expenses were for commuting between Sheldon's home and her regular place of employment, which are personal in nature and not deductible under Section 262 of the Internal Revenue Code.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the well-established principle that commuting expenses between one’s home and regular place of employment are personal and not deductible, as outlined in Section 262 and related regulations. The court noted that Sheldon’s home was not used as a professional office, and the hospital did not require her to stay at the facility while on duty or on call, only that she be reachable and able to respond quickly to emergencies. The court distinguished Sheldon’s case from situations where travel expenses might be deductible, such as travel between multiple work locations or from a home office used for business. The court cited previous cases like *Lenke Marot* and *Clarence J. Sapp* to support its decision, emphasizing that even the necessity of quick response to emergencies did not transform Sheldon’s commuting into a deductible business expense.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that commuting expenses to a regular workplace remain non-deductible, even for employees with on-call responsibilities. Legal practitioners advising clients in similar situations should emphasize the importance of distinguishing between personal commuting and travel directly related to business activities. Businesses employing on-call staff should consider providing transportation or compensation for travel during emergency calls to mitigate the financial impact on employees. This ruling has been influential in subsequent cases involving the deductibility of commuting expenses and continues to guide tax planning and litigation in this area.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.