

Oswald v. Commissioner, 49 T. C. 645 (1968)

A corporate bylaw requiring repayment of disallowed salary can make such repayment deductible as an ordinary and necessary business expense.

Summary

In *Oswald v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court allowed Vincent Oswald to deduct \$5,000 he repaid to Electric Manufacturing & Repair Co. in 1964. This amount was originally paid as salary in 1960 but later disallowed by the IRS as unreasonable compensation. A bylaw adopted by Electric at its inception in 1952 mandated that officers repay any compensation disallowed by the IRS. The court found this repayment to be compulsory and necessary for Oswald's business as an officer, thus qualifying as a deductible expense under Section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Facts

Vincent Oswald was the president of Electric Manufacturing & Repair Co. from 1959 to 1964. In 1960, he received a salary of \$15,000 and bonuses totaling \$35,120. The IRS later determined that \$5,000 of this compensation was unreasonable and disallowed it as a deduction for Electric. In 1964, following the advice of legal counsel, Oswald repaid the \$5,000 to Electric as required by a bylaw adopted in 1952. This bylaw mandated that any officer's compensation disallowed by the IRS be repaid to the corporation.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Oswald's income tax for 1963 and 1964. Oswald contested the disallowance of a \$5,000 deduction claimed in 1964 for the repayment to Electric. The case proceeded to the United States Tax Court, which ruled in favor of Oswald, allowing the deduction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the \$5,000 repayment to Electric Manufacturing & Repair Co. by Vincent Oswald in 1964 is deductible as an ordinary and necessary business expense under Section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. Yes, because the repayment was compelled by a binding corporate bylaw and was necessary for Oswald's business as an officer of the corporation.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court held that the repayment was not voluntary but compelled by a bylaw

adopted at Electric's incorporation in 1952. The court noted that the bylaw served a business purpose for Electric by enabling it to recover disallowed compensation, thus aiding in the payment of resulting tax deficiencies and increasing corporate funds. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the repayment lacked a business purpose, emphasizing that the bylaw was prospective and applied to all officers, not just Oswald. The court found the repayment to be an ordinary and necessary expense of Oswald's business as an officer, citing the legal advice he received and the enforceability of the bylaw. The court distinguished this case from others involving voluntary repayments or retroactive agreements, emphasizing the prospective nature of the bylaw and its applicability to all officers.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that repayments compelled by corporate bylaws can be deductible as business expenses. Corporations should consider adopting similar bylaws to ensure recoverability of disallowed compensation. For taxpayers, this case demonstrates the importance of documenting the compulsory nature of repayments to support deductions. The ruling may encourage corporations to implement policies that align with tax regulations to minimize disallowed deductions. Future cases involving similar issues should focus on the enforceability and prospective nature of any bylaw or agreement compelling repayment.