Watts Foundry, Inc. v. Commissioner, 48 T.C. 489 (1967)

A taxpayer can overcome the disallowance of a surtax exemption under Section 1551 of the 1954 Code if they demonstrate by a clear preponderance of evidence that securing the exemption was not a major purpose of transferring property to a controlled corporation, even if tax benefits were a consideration.

Summary

Watts, a valve manufacturer, transferred its foundry operations to a newly formed subsidiary, Watts Foundry, Inc. The IRS sought to disallow the subsidiary's surtax exemption under Section 1551, arguing that a major purpose of the transfer was to secure a tax benefit. The Tax Court ruled in favor of Watts Foundry, Inc., finding that the company had demonstrated that the transfer was primarily motivated by legitimate business purposes, including resolving labor issues, improving financing options, and addressing specialized marketing needs. The court concluded that securing the surtax exemption was not a major purpose of the transfer.

Facts

Watts, a manufacturer, operated an integrated business including a foundry division.

Watts encountered significant labor relations problems within its foundry, stemming from a plant-wide union contract that hindered efficiency and operational changes.

Watts faced financial constraints with its primary bank, limiting its borrowing capacity for expansion.

Watts identified a need to address specialized marketing concerns related to selling to mail-order houses without disrupting relationships with established distributors.

To address these issues, Watts formed Watts Foundry, Inc. and transferred its foundry assets to the new corporation in exchange for stock.

The shareholders of Watts maintained control of Watts Foundry, Inc.

Watts Foundry, Inc. claimed a surtax exemption, which the Commissioner disallowed under Section 1551 of the 1954 Code.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the surtax exemption claimed by Watts Foundry, Inc.

Watts Foundry, Inc. petitioned the Tax Court of the United States to challenge the Commissioner's determination.

The Tax Court heard the case and issued a decision.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Tax Court erred in finding that Watts Foundry, Inc. established by a clear preponderance of the evidence that securing a surtax exemption was not a major purpose of the property transfer from Watts, the parent company, within the meaning of Section 1551 of the 1954 Internal Revenue Code?

Holding

1. No. The Tax Court held that Watts Foundry, Inc. successfully demonstrated by a clear preponderance of the evidence that securing the surtax exemption was not a major purpose of the transfer, because the transfer was primarily motivated by significant business purposes.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 1551 of the 1954 Code, which disallows surtax exemptions if a major purpose of transferring property to a controlled corporation is to secure such an exemption, unless the taxpayer proves otherwise by a clear preponderance of the evidence.

The court considered Income Tax Regulations, sec. 1.1551-1(e), which states that securing the exemption need not be the sole or principal purpose, but it is sufficient if it was "one of the major considerations that prompted the transfer." However, the taxpayer can prevail by showing that obtaining the exemption was not a major factor relative to other considerations.

The court found that Watts presented credible evidence of several major business purposes for the transfer, including:

Resolving Labor Issues: Separating the foundry into a distinct corporate entity with its own union contract was a "good commonsense solution" to intractable labor problems related to a plant-wide bargaining unit.

Improving Financing: Creating a separate corporation allowed access to increased borrowing capacity from local banks, which had lending limits to a single borrower.

Addressing Marketing Needs: A separate entity could address specialized marketing to mail-order houses without jeopardizing relationships with Watts's established customer base.

The court emphasized the testimony of Mr. Horne, the key decision-maker, who stated that securing a surtax exemption was not a major factor in the decision. The court was "fully satisfied that such was the case."

The court concluded that Watts Foundry, Inc. met its burden of proof, stating, "It is our opinion that petitioner has carried its burden of showing by a clear

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

preponderance of the evidence that the securing of a surtax exemption was not a major purpose of the transfer at issue herein."

Practical Implications

Watts Foundry provides a practical example of how taxpayers can successfully navigate Section 1551 by demonstrating legitimate business purposes for corporate structuring, even when tax benefits are present.

The case highlights the importance of documenting and substantiating non-tax motivations for business decisions, particularly in corporate formations and reorganizations that could trigger scrutiny under Section 1551 (and similar tax avoidance provisions).

It clarifies that "a major purpose" is not synonymous with the "sole" or "principal" purpose, but rather a significant motivating factor. Taxpayers must show that other business considerations outweighed the tax benefits to avoid disallowance.

The decision underscores the evidentiary burden on the taxpayer to prove by a "clear preponderance of the evidence" that tax avoidance was not a major purpose, emphasizing the need for strong factual support and credible witness testimony.

Subsequent cases and rulings continue to apply the principles of *Watts Foundry* when evaluating the "major purpose" test in various tax avoidance contexts, reinforcing the importance of genuine business purpose in tax planning.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.