

***Farber v. Commissioner, 43 T. C. 407; 1965 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 144***

A taxpayer must have the mental capacity to form the intent to evade taxes for fraud penalties to apply.

**Summary**

Jacob D. Farber, a businessman, was found to have filed false and fraudulent tax returns from 1948 to 1954 by diverting business receipts into personal bank accounts, thus underreporting his income. The court determined that despite suffering from a pituitary tumor, Farber possessed the mental capacity to intentionally evade taxes. The court upheld the IRS's use of the bank-deposits method to reconstruct Farber's income, affirming the deficiencies and fraud penalties. The case emphasizes the need for clear and convincing evidence of mental capacity to establish fraudulent intent in tax evasion cases.

**Facts**

Jacob D. Farber operated a sole proprietorship, Briggs Bituminous Composition Co. , and during 1948 to 1954, he regularly deposited business receipts into personal bank accounts, instructing his bookkeeper not to record these in the business records. These unreported receipts were later transferred back to the business as supposed loans. Farber also concealed these transactions from his accountants. He suffered from a pituitary tumor and exhibited personality changes, but there was no direct evidence that the tumor affected his mental capacity during the period in question. Farber was indicted for tax evasion and pleaded guilty in 1959.

**Procedural History**

The IRS determined deficiencies and fraud penalties for Farber's tax returns from 1948 to 1954. Farber challenged the deficiencies and penalties in the Tax Court, arguing that his mental condition due to a pituitary tumor prevented him from forming the intent to evade taxes. The Tax Court consolidated the cases for trial and found against Farber, upholding the IRS's determinations.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether Farber filed false and fraudulent returns with intent to evade tax during the years 1948 to 1954.
2. Whether Farber had the mental capacity to form the intent to evade taxes during those years.
3. Whether the IRS's use of the bank-deposits method to determine deficiencies was valid and produced accurate results.

**Holding**

1. Yes, because Farber consistently underreported substantial amounts of income

over several years, employed a systematic scheme to conceal receipts, and continued this behavior even after IRS investigation.

2. Yes, because despite the pituitary tumor, Farber demonstrated business competence and the ability to manage complex transactions, indicating he had the mental capacity to intend to evade taxes.

3. Yes, because the bank-deposits method was appropriate given Farber's incomplete records and unreported income, and Farber failed to prove the method resulted in arbitrary or excessive deficiencies.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the legal standard that fraud must be proven by clear and convincing evidence. It noted Farber's consistent underreporting of income, his scheme to divert business receipts to personal accounts, and his failure to disclose these to his accountants as evidence of fraud. The court rejected Farber's claim of mental incapacity, finding that his pituitary tumor did not affect his mental competence during the relevant years. This was supported by his ability to manage his business and engage in complex transactions. The court also upheld the bank-deposits method, stating it was a reasonable approach given the circumstances. Expert testimony was considered, but the court found it unpersuasive due to reliance on inaccurate information and the hindsight nature of the opinions.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that for fraud penalties to apply in tax evasion cases, the taxpayer must have the mental capacity to form the intent to evade taxes. It underscores the importance of clear and convincing evidence in proving both fraud and mental capacity. The case also validates the bank-deposits method as a tool for reconstructing income when taxpayers fail to maintain adequate records. For legal practitioners, it serves as a reminder to thoroughly assess a client's mental state and the sufficiency of their financial records when defending against fraud allegations. Businesses should ensure accurate recordkeeping to avoid similar disputes, and subsequent cases have cited Farber for its principles on mental capacity and the use of indirect methods to determine income.