J. T. Slocomb Company, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 38 T.C. 752 (1962).

Section 269 of the Internal Revenue Code disallows deductions, credits, or other allowances if the principal purpose of acquiring control of a corporation is to evade or avoid federal income tax by securing benefits that would not otherwise be enjoyed.

Summary

J.T. Slocomb Co., a company with a history of losses, was acquired by stockholders of two profitable corporations, Green Machine Company and Turbo Industries, and subsequently merged with them. The IRS disallowed Slocomb's net operating loss carryovers from pre-merger years, arguing that the principal purpose of the acquisition was tax avoidance under Section 269 of the 1954 Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court agreed with the IRS, finding that while there was a business purpose for diversification, the principal purpose of the acquisition was to utilize Slocomb's losses to offset the profits of the acquiring corporations, thus evading federal income tax.

Facts

J.T. Slocomb Co. had incurred net operating losses for ten consecutive years (1944-1953). In 1953, Slocomb's assets were auctioned off to satisfy creditors. National Printing Company purchased the stock of Slocomb for $7,300, acquiring the company name, micrometer equipment, and customer lists. National also acquired a claim against Slocomb, converted it into a debenture bond, and then sold the stock and bond to stockholders of Green Machine Company and Turbo Industries for $30,000. Slocomb then merged with Green and Turbo. Prior to the merger, Green and Turbo were profitable, while Slocomb had a significant deficit. Post-merger, the micrometer business represented a small portion of the surviving corporation's sales. The merged entity attempted to use Slocomb's pre-merger net operating losses to offset post-merger income.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in income taxes for 1954 and 1955, disallowing the net operating loss carryover deductions claimed by J.T. Slocomb Company. J.T. Slocomb Company petitioned the Tax Court for review of the Commissioner's determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the principal purpose of the stockholders of Green and Turbo acquiring control of J.T. Slocomb Company was the evasion or avoidance of federal income tax by securing the benefit of net operating loss deductions they would not otherwise enjoy, within the meaning of Section 269 of the 1954

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether the petitioner is entitled to deduct interest payments on debenture bonds, given the principal purpose of the acquisition.

Holding

1. No, because the petitioner failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the principal purpose was not tax evasion or avoidance.
2. No, because the disallowance of the net operating loss carryovers extends to the interest deductions as they are part of the same tax avoidance scheme.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 269 of the 1954 Internal Revenue Code, which disallows deductions if the principal purpose of an acquisition is tax evasion or avoidance. The court acknowledged the petitioner's argument of a business purpose—diversification for Green and Turbo—but found that tax avoidance was the principal purpose. The court reasoned that Slocomb was a "moribund company" with a decade of losses and liabilities exceeding assets. While Slocomb retained some assets like its name and customer lists, their value was limited. The court inferred a tax avoidance purpose from the significant potential tax savings from Slocomb's net operating loss carryovers, which were substantial compared to the investment and the uncertain prospects of Slocomb's business. The court stated, "*actions speak louder than words*," inferring intent from the foreseeable consequences of the acquisition. The court also noted the potential tax benefits from the debentures, further supporting the tax avoidance motive. The court concluded that the petitioner failed to prove that tax avoidance was not the principal purpose, thus upholding the Commissioner's disallowance of the net operating loss carryovers and interest deductions.

Practical Implications

J.T. Slocomb Co. is a key case illustrating the application of Section 269 to corporate acquisitions. It highlights that even if a business purpose exists for an acquisition, the tax benefits will be disallowed if the principal purpose is tax avoidance. This case emphasizes the importance of demonstrating a substantial business purpose that outweighs the tax benefits in corporate mergers and acquisitions, especially when loss corporations are involved. It underscores the IRS's scrutiny of acquisitions designed to utilize net operating loss carryovers and provides a practical warning to businesses: tax benefits cannot be the primary driver in corporate acquisitions. Later cases have cited *Slocomb* to reinforce the principle that courts will look beyond stated business purposes to determine the principal motivation behind corporate acquisitions with significant tax implications.