Anonymous Taxpayer v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo. 1955-249

Losses from foreign exchange fluctuations are not deductible under Section 23(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 unless they are incurred in a trade or business, in a transaction entered into for profit, or as a result of a casualty.

Summary

The taxpayer, a former British resident who became a U.S. resident, sought to deduct a loss allegedly incurred due to the devaluation of the British pound sterling against the U.S. dollar. The Tax Court disallowed the deduction, holding that the loss did not arise from a bad debt, a casualty, a trade or business, or a transaction entered into for profit as required by Section 23(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939. The court emphasized that the taxpayer's personal decision to move to the U.S., not any business or profit-seeking activity, triggered the alleged loss.

Facts

The taxpayer was formerly a resident of Britain. He became a resident of the United States. Subsequent to his move, the British pound sterling was devalued in relation to the U.S. dollar. The taxpayer claimed a loss for tax purposes, arguing that the devaluation of the pound resulted in a financial detriment to him.

Procedural History

The taxpayer petitioned the Tax Court to contest the Commissioner of Internal Revenue's disallowance of a claimed loss deduction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the taxpayer sustained a deductible loss under Section 23(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 due to the devaluation of the British pound sterling.

Holding

1. No, because the loss did not result from a bad debt, a casualty, a trade or business, or a transaction entered into for profit as required for deductibility under Section 23(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that while the taxpayer claimed a loss, it did not fit within any of the categories of deductible losses for individuals under Section 23(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939. The court stated, "As petitioner correctly insists, this loss, if there was one, did not flow from a bad debt. The debt was paid in full." The court further explained that deductible losses for individuals are limited to those "resulting from a casualty or sustained in a trade or business, or in a transaction

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

entered into for profit." The court emphasized that the taxpayer's change of residence to the United States, a personal decision, was the sole reason for the alleged loss, and this was not a "profit-oriented undertaking." The court distinguished cases involving collateral transactions in foreign exchange integrated with business operations, noting that in this case, there was no "completed transaction" related to the taxpayer's business and no direct link between his business and the claimed loss. Therefore, the court concluded there was no legal basis to allow the deduction.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that personal losses stemming from foreign currency fluctuations are generally not tax-deductible for individuals in the U.S. unless directly connected to business activities or profit-seeking ventures. It highlights the importance of demonstrating a nexus between the foreign exchange loss and a trade or business or a transaction entered into for profit to qualify for a deduction under Section 23(e). For legal practitioners and taxpayers, this case serves as a reminder that personal financial setbacks due to currency devaluation, absent a business or investment context, are considered non-deductible personal expenses. It emphasizes the distinction between personal financial consequences of currency fluctuations and deductible business-related or investment-related foreign exchange losses.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.