33 T.C. 141 (1959)

A corporation can be subject to the accumulated earnings tax if it accumulates earnings beyond the reasonable needs of its business with the purpose of avoiding shareholder surtaxes.

Summary

The United States Tax Court ruled that Raymond I. Smith, Inc., a corporation operating bars within a gambling establishment, was subject to the accumulated earnings tax for two of the tax years in question. The court determined that the corporation accumulated earnings beyond the reasonable needs of its business with the purpose of preventing the imposition of surtax on its sole stockholder. The court focused on the corporation's substantial investments in farmland and the lack of a clear business purpose for these investments, especially in light of the shareholder's high personal income tax rate and the corporation's failure to pay dividends.

Facts

Raymond I. Smith, Inc. (the "Petitioner") operated bars within Harolds Club, a large gambling establishment. Raymond I. Smith owned all of the Petitioner's stock and was also the general manager of Harolds Club. The Petitioner accumulated substantial earnings, which were not distributed as dividends. Smith's personal income was subject to high tax rates. During the relevant tax years (1950, 1952, 1953, and 1954), the Petitioner made several significant investments. Notably, it purchased farmland near Reno, Nevada, and a motel, and held options to acquire stock in the gambling establishment. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue asserted that the Petitioner accumulated its earnings to avoid surtax liability for its sole stockholder, Raymond I. Smith. The Petitioner argued that its accumulations were for legitimate business needs.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the Petitioner's income tax for the years 1950, 1952, 1953, and 1954, based on the accumulated earnings tax provisions. The Petitioner challenged this determination in the United States Tax Court.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Petitioner was availed of during any taxable year for the purpose of preventing the imposition of the surtax upon its sole stockholder, through the medium of permitting earnings or profits to accumulate instead of being divided or distributed.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because the court found the accumulation of earnings in 1953 and 1954 was for the purpose of avoiding the surtax on Smith; however, no such finding was made for the years 1950 and 1952.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the accumulated earnings tax provisions, focusing on whether the corporation was

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.