

Estate of Thomas J. Semmes, Deceased, Elaine P. Semmes, Executrix, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 32 T.C. 1218 (1959)

For a bequest in trust to qualify for the marital deduction, the surviving spouse must possess a general power of appointment over the trust corpus, enabling her to dispose of the property to herself or her estate, and no other person can have a power to appoint any part of the property to anyone other than the surviving spouse.

Summary

The United States Tax Court addressed whether a bequest in trust qualified for the marital deduction. The decedent's will provided that his wife would receive the income from stock in trust for her life, with the power to encroach on the principal for her own benefit. The Court determined that the bequest did not qualify because the wife did not possess a general power of appointment allowing her to dispose of the corpus to herself or her estate. The Court found the will's provisions for the disposition of the trust corpus upon the wife's death indicated that the decedent did not intend for the property to pass through her estate, thus failing to meet the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code.

Facts

Thomas J. Semmes died testate in 1956, a resident of Tennessee. His will, executed in 1954, bequeathed 255 shares of stock in Semmes Bag Company to his wife, Elaine P. Semmes, as trustee. Elaine was to receive the income for life and had the right to encroach on the principal for her own benefit. Upon her death, the trust property was to be divided among his children or their issue. The estate claimed a marital deduction for the value of the stock. The IRS disallowed the deduction, and the case proceeded to the Tax Court.

Procedural History

The IRS determined a deficiency in the estate tax, disallowing the claimed marital deduction. The estate petitioned the United States Tax Court. The Tax Court considered the case based on stipulated facts and legal arguments, and delivered its opinion on September 22, 1959.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the bequest of stock in trust qualifies for a marital deduction under section 2056(b)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

Holding

1. No, because the wife did not have a general power of appointment that allows her to dispose of the corpus to herself or her estate.

Court's Reasoning

The court began by examining section 2056 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, which provides for a marital deduction. Under section 2056(b)(5), a life estate with a power of appointment qualifies for the marital deduction if the surviving spouse is entitled to all the income for life and has the power to appoint the entire interest to herself or her estate. The court emphasized that the surviving spouse must have the power to appoint the entire interest, “exercisable by such spouse alone and in all events.” The court noted that the will gave the wife the right to encroach on the principal, but this alone was insufficient. The court reviewed the will, noting it provided elaborate provisions for the disposition of the trust corpus after the wife’s death, clearly indicating that the decedent did not intend for the property to pass through her estate. The court pointed out that the wife did not have the power to dispose of the property by gift or appoint the corpus to herself as unqualified owner. The court found that, under Tennessee law, the wife’s power to encroach was not equivalent to the required power of appointment.

Practical Implications

This case underscores the importance of carefully drafting trust provisions to meet the specific requirements of the marital deduction. Attorneys must ensure that the surviving spouse has the requisite power to appoint the trust property to herself or her estate, without limitations. The case illustrates that even broad powers of encroachment are insufficient if they don’t include the ability to direct the ultimate disposition of the property. This case should guide attorneys to carefully review the exact language of the will to be certain it creates the required power of appointment for the marital deduction. Subsequent cases will likely follow this requirement that the spouse have the ability to dispose of the property and to be able to appoint the corpus to herself, or her estate. Also, a determination must be made of the intent of the testator.