# 32 T.C. 1139 (1959)

Under the accrual method of accounting, a deduction for state excise taxes is only permissible when the liability to pay the tax is fixed, and the amount can be determined with reasonable accuracy.

#### Summary

The Globe Tool & Die Manufacturing Co. (petitioner), an accrual-basis taxpayer, sought to deduct additional Massachusetts excise taxes in 1951 and 1952, reflecting adjustments to its federal taxable income. The Tax Court held that the deductions were not allowable because the liability for the additional state taxes was not fixed during the taxable years. The court reasoned that under Massachusetts law, the liability becomes fixed only upon a final determination of federal net income, a report to the Massachusetts commissioner, and an assessment. Because these conditions had not been met, the deduction was premature. The court distinguished this situation from cases where the tax liability and amount were reasonably ascertainable, highlighting the importance of fixed liability for accrual-basis taxpayers.

## Facts

Globe Tool & Die Manufacturing Co., a Massachusetts corporation, used the accrual method of accounting. The IRS examined the company's 1951 and 1952 income tax returns, resulting in adjustments that increased its taxable income. These adjustments would also impact the company's Massachusetts corporate excise tax liability. The company filed protests to the IRS adjustments. Subsequently, the Massachusetts commissioner redetermined the corporate excise tax for 1951 and 1952, and the petitioner paid the additional taxes, including interest, in 1952 and 1953, respectively. The IRS issued a notice of deficiency for the 1951 and 1952 tax years, disallowing deductions for the additional Massachusetts excise tax. The petitioner contested the disallowance, arguing it was entitled to the deductions in the years the income adjustments were made.

## **Procedural History**

The case was heard by the United States Tax Court. The IRS determined deficiencies in income tax for the petitioner for 1951 and 1952, disallowing deductions for additional Massachusetts excise tax. Globe Tool & Die contested the IRS's decision in the Tax Court, arguing for the deductibility of the additional excise taxes in the relevant years, based on the accrual method.

## Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioner, an accrual-basis taxpayer, was entitled to deduct additional Massachusetts excise taxes in 1951 and 1952 based on adjustments to its federal taxable income for those years.

# Holding

1. No, because under the accrual method, the deduction for additional excise taxes was not proper in 1951 and 1952, as the liability for the additional tax was not fixed until a later date, upon a final determination of federal net income and an assessment by the Massachusetts commissioner.

#### **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on the principle that under the accrual method of accounting, a deduction is permitted in the taxable year when all the events have occurred that fix the liability and the amount can be determined with reasonable accuracy. The court cited *Lucas v. American Code Co.* and other cases supporting this principle. The court then analyzed the Massachusetts corporate excise tax law. It determined that under Massachusetts law, the events fixing the liability for additional taxes include a final determination of federal net income, a report to the Massachusetts commissioner, and an assessment by the commissioner. Since these steps had not been taken during 1951 and 1952, the liability for additional tax was not fixed in those years. The court distinguished this situation from cases involving real property taxes, where the liability may be fixed upon assessment. The court also noted the petitioner was contesting some of the adjustments in the current proceeding, further supporting the view that the liability was not fixed. The court emphasized that the petitioner's state tax liability depended on the final federal determination, and until this was known, the additional tax was not deductible.

## **Practical Implications**

This case highlights the crucial importance of the 'all events test' for accrual-basis taxpayers. It demonstrates that merely knowing the future events that will influence a liability's eventual amount does not trigger an immediate deduction. A deduction for a tax liability, or any expense for that matter, requires that the liability be fixed. This case instructs tax practitioners to carefully examine the specific legal framework for state or local taxes, to determine the precise moment when a tax liability becomes fixed. In Massachusetts, this moment is defined by the statute. For businesses operating in states with similar tax systems, the same principles would apply. The timing of deductions has significant implications for financial reporting, tax planning, and cash flow management. The court's emphasis on the final determination of federal income means that companies must await the final outcome of any federal audits or litigation before claiming a state tax deduction. Failing to adhere to this can lead to penalties and interest for incorrect tax reporting. Tax professionals must also be aware of the implications of contesting underlying liabilities, as doing so often defers the timing of related deductions.