# The San Francisco Bank, Trustee v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 32 T.C. 1027 (1959)

A trustee holding property includable in a decedent's gross estate is personally liable for estate taxes under Section 827(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, with liability determined based on their status at the time of the decedent's death, regardless of asset distribution before a deficiency notice.

### **Summary**

The San Francisco Bank, as trustee of an inter vivos trust, was determined to be liable as a transferee for unpaid estate taxes of William P. Baker. The bank argued it was not liable because it had distributed the trust assets to the beneficiary before receiving the notice of deficiency. The Tax Court ruled against the bank, holding that under Section 827(b) of the 1939 IRC, a trustee's liability is established if they held property includable in the gross estate at the time of the decedent's death. The subsequent distribution of assets did not extinguish this pre-existing liability. This case underscores that trustee liability for estate tax is fixed at the decedent's death, not when the deficiency notice is issued.

#### **Facts**

William P. Baker established an inter vivos trust in 1941, appointing The San Francisco Bank as trustee for the benefit of his daughter. The trust corpus consisted of 4,000 shares of stock. Baker died on July 11, 1951. At the time of his death, the trust property was valued at \$162,000. The estate tax return for Baker's estate was filed, initially taking the position that the trust was not taxable. The Commissioner audited the return, initially agreeing the trust was not taxable but making other adjustments. The additional estate tax was assessed and paid. The statute of limitations for assessing further estate tax against the estate expired on October 7, 1955. By August 9, 1955, the bank, believing all taxes were settled, distributed the trust assets to the beneficiary as per the trust terms. On September 6, 1956, the bank received a notice of deficiency for estate taxes as a "transferee and trustee." At this point, the bank held no assets of the trust or Baker's estate. The estate itself, however, still possessed assets exceeding the deficiency.

## **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue issued a statutory notice of deficiency to The San Francisco Bank, asserting transferee and trustee liability for unpaid estate taxes. The San Francisco Bank petitioned the Tax Court to contest this deficiency determination.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether The San Francisco Bank is liable as a transferee and trustee for unpaid estate taxes under Section 827(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, given that

it was a trustee of property included in the decedent's gross estate at the time of death.

2. Whether the trustee's liability under Section 827(b) is extinguished by distributing the trust corpus to the beneficiary before receiving a notice of deficiency for estate taxes.

## **Holding**

- 1. Yes, The San Francisco Bank is liable as a transferee and trustee because it was a trustee in possession of property includable in the decedent's gross estate at the time of his death, satisfying the conditions of Section 827(b).
- 2. No, the trustee's liability is not extinguished by distributing the trust assets prior to receiving the notice of deficiency because Section 827(b) fixes liability at the decedent's death, not at the time of the deficiency notice.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court based its reasoning on the "plain provisions" of Sections 900(e) and 827(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939. Section 900(e) defines "transferee" to include those personally liable under Section 827(b). Section 827(b) explicitly states that if estate tax is unpaid, a "trustee, surviving tenant, person in possession...or beneficiary, who receives, or has on the date of decedent's death, property included in the gross estate...to the extent of the value...of such property, shall be personally liable for such tax." The court emphasized that the critical time for determining trustee liability is "the date of the decedent's death and not the date of the statutory notice." At Baker's death, the bank was the trustee and held trust property that was includable in his gross estate under Section 811(d). Therefore, the bank met the statutory criteria for transferee liability. The court rejected the bank's argument that its liability ceased upon distribution, as the statute's language clearly focuses on the trustee's status at the time of death.

## **Practical Implications**

The San Francisco Bank case provides a clear interpretation of Section 827(b) of the 1939 IRC, establishing that a trustee's liability for estate tax is determined at the moment of the decedent's death. This means trustees cannot avoid transferee liability by distributing trust assets before a formal notice of deficiency. For legal practitioners, this case highlights the importance of advising trustees to conduct thorough due diligence regarding potential estate tax liabilities before distributing trust assets, even if the estate's statute of limitations has seemingly expired. It underscores that trustee liability can persist independently of the estate's direct liability and emphasizes the need for caution and proactive tax planning in trust administration to prevent unexpected transferee liability assessments. This case informs current practices by reinforcing the principle that liability for estate tax can