First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Bristol v. Commissioner, 32 T.C. 885 (1959)

The tax year in which a savings and loan association can deduct dividends paid to shareholders depends on when those dividends are withdrawable on demand, regardless of when they are credited or paid.

Summary

The case involved a dispute over when a savings and loan association could deduct dividends paid to shareholders. The IRS disallowed the deduction of dividends paid on December 31, 1951, arguing they were not deductible until 1952. Conversely, the IRS initially allowed the deduction of dividends for December 31, 1952. The Tax Court held that dividends were deductible in the year they became withdrawable on demand, clarifying that the association's policy and shareholder access to the funds were key. The court examined the specifics of the dividend payment procedures and the shareholders' ability to access the funds. The court found that the 1951 dividends were not withdrawable until January 2, 1952, making them deductible in 1952. The 1952 dividends, however, were withdrawable on December 31, 1952, making them deductible that year.

Facts

First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Bristol (the "Association") declared dividends as of December 31, 1951, and December 31, 1952. The Association had a policy that determined when the dividends were actually available to the shareholders. The shareholders could be divided into two groups; investment shareholders and savings shareholders. For the December 31, 1951 dividend, the Association's policy was that investment shareholders' dividend checks were mailed on the first business day of the new year (January 2, 1952), and savings shareholders could not withdraw dividends until they brought their passbooks to the Association to have the dividends credited. For the December 31, 1952 dividends, the Association made the dividends available to both investment and savings shareholders at 9 a.m. on December 31, 1952.

Procedural History

The Commissioner initially allowed the deduction for the 1952 dividends and disallowed the deduction for the 1951 dividends. The Association disputed the disallowance of the 1951 dividend deduction. The Tax Court reviewed the facts and applied the relevant tax regulations to determine the proper tax year for the dividend deductions.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the December 31, 1951, dividends were withdrawable on demand before January 2, 1952.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

2. Whether the December 31, 1952, dividends were withdrawable on demand before January 2, 1953.

Holding

1. No, because the dividends were not available for withdrawal until the first business day of the succeeding year, January 2, 1952.
2. Yes, because the dividends were available for withdrawal on December 31, 1952.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied on Section 23(r)(1) of the 1939 Internal Revenue Code and its corresponding regulations, which stated that dividends were deductible in the year they were withdrawable on demand, regardless of when they were credited. The court emphasized that "the date upon which the dividends can be demanded and withdrawn, regardless of the date upon which the dividends are credited or paid, determines the taxable year in which the dividends are deductible." The court analyzed the Association's practices and found that, based on the Association's policy, the 1951 dividends were not accessible until January 2, 1952. The court noted that the 1952 dividends were, in fact, available for withdrawal on December 31, 1952, thus, the tax deduction was allowable in 1952. The court distinguished this case from the Citizens Federal Savings & Loan Assn. of Covington case, where savings shareholders could access their dividends on the credit date.

Practical Implications

This case highlights the importance of the timing of access to funds in determining the proper tax year for dividend deductions. Financial institutions, like savings and loan associations, must carefully document and adhere to their dividend payment policies to ensure accurate tax reporting. This case reinforces the principle that the actual availability of funds to shareholders, not just the declaration or crediting date, determines the tax year of deductibility. Businesses should maintain clear records of when dividends become withdrawable and should consider the actual practices around dividend payments when analyzing the timing of deductions. Future courts should look closely at the specific facts of the access to the funds.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.